Hlavsa, Zdeněk

How to introduce modal expressions into action clauses?

In: Otázky slovanské syntaxe. III, Sborník symposia "Modální výstavba výpovědi v slovanských jazycích", Brno 27.-30. září 1971. Vyd. 1. Brno: Universita J.E. Purkyně, 1973, pp. 121-122

Stable URL (handle): <u>https://hdl.handle.net/11222.digilib/120837</u> Access Date: 01. 12. 2024 Version: 20220831

Terms of use: Digital Library of the Faculty of Arts, Masaryk University provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use, unless otherwise specified.

MUNI Masarykova univerzita Filozofická fakulta

Digital Library of the Faculty of Arts, Masaryk University

digilib.phil.muni.cz

ZDENĔK HLAVSA (Praha)

HOW TO INTRODUCE MODAL EXPRESSIONS INTO ACTION CLAUSES?

In the conceptions employing syntactic and semantic patterns (schemes) with semantic roles (participants, participant roles) as a descriptive device,¹ modal verbs, adverbs and particles are introduced as constituents of special paradigmatic variants of the verb (Predicate). Such an approach gives a full account of the formal potential of syntax, it is not, however, satisfactory from the point of view of semantics, i.e., if the relations between the meanings of the sentences and the semantic system as a whole are taken into consideration. That is why, in the present remark, another solution is suggested; it refers, in particular, to action clauses.

In them, the roles commonly applied are: ACTOR, GOAL, RESULT, BENEFICIARY, etc.; the presented conception makes use, in addition to them, of the role of STIMULATOR. It should be ascribed to somebody (or something) who (which) "stimulates", "rouses", "encourages", "instigates" ... the ACTOR to act. (The subjects of the just mentioned verbs if used in a clause may be characterized in such a way, too.) In order to obtain a more adequate explanation of various clause types, it is advisable

- (1) to analyze the given clause as if preceded by a performative sentence like THE SPEAKER TELLS THE RECEIVER; so, e.g., the clause *The pupils must read* is discussed as if backgrounded by THE SPEAKER TELLS THE RECEIVER: STIMULATOR rouses (...) the pupils to read;
- (2) to consider referential relations between participants of the clause verb and elements of the performative antecedent (in the following examples, referential identity/diversity are expressed by means of the identity/ diversity of figures).

For introducing modal verbs, only the combinations of some of the mentioned items are relevant; in the following examples they are represented (together with Czech examples displaying variety of means and some Russian equivalents.

SPEAKER¹ RECEIVER² STIMULATOR³ ACTOR⁴

Žáci musí číst; jsou nuceni číst; mají číst.

Ученики должны читать. Ученикам (необходимо) читать etc.

¹ Cf. esp. F. Daneš, Syntaktický model a syntaktický vzorec, Čs. předn. pro V. mezinár. sjezd slavistů v Sofii, Praha 1963, 115–124, and Some Thoughts on the Semantic Structure of the Sentence (Lingua 21 (1968), 55–69); M. A. K. Halliday, Notes on Transitivity and Theme in English (Journal of Linguistics, 3 (1967), 37–81, 199–244); Grammatika sovremennogo russkogo literaturnogo jazyka, Moskva 1970, esp. 546–596,; partly also C. J. Fillmore, The Case for Case, Universals of Linguistic Theory, New York 1968, 3–96, and his followers in 'case grammar'.

SPEAKER¹ RECEIVER² STIMULATOR¹ ACTOR³ Ať žáci čtou! Žáci musí číst.

Пусть ученики читают. Ученики должны читать.

SPEAKER¹ RECEIVER² STIMULATOR¹ ACTOR²

Žáci, čtěte. Žáci, číst!

Ученики, читайте! Ученики, читать!

SPEAKER¹ RECEIVER² STIMULATOR³ ACTOR³

Žáci chtějí číst.²

Ученики хотят читать.

The usage of some other modal expressions may be explained by means of negation. An explicit denial of the existence of STIMULATOR is entailed in Žáci nemusí číst, nejsou nuceni číst; Ученики не должны читать; a NEGATIVE STIMULATOR (i.e. preventing ACTOR from acting) is identified in Záci nesmějí číst; Ученикам запрещено ... (nemají is ambiguous in that respect), non-existence of the latter may be met with Záci smějí, mohou číst; Ученикам позволяют читать.

As for stative verbs, they are compatible with modal verbs, too, but the semantic structures of respective clauses is more complicated (e.g., Jenik smi videt ten film, "Johnny is allowed to see that film", implies an action which makes "seeing" possible) or modal expressions convey other meanings, especially the speaker's estimation of the degree of probability (Mohl rozumět, co *isme si povidali*; Musel rozumět ... "I take for granted — for possible, probable etc. that he has understood").

Notice that the sketched conception results in the following theoretical generalization:

- 1. the meaning of modality cannot be limited to the level of sentential patterns (schemes) but it appearently pertains to the level of utterance, i.e. that one operating with SPEAKER-RECEIVER relation;
- 2. it is advantageous to accumulate semantic roles on one participant³ (which requires, consequently, to distinguish participants, i.e. entities obligatorily involved into verbal action, and semantic roles, i.e. functional properties ascribed to them).

² Referentials relation obviously predict the usage of more variform phenomena than those of grammatical category of Person. As for the last example, it could be objected that in sentences without overt modal means (e.g. Záci čtou, Ученики читают), the STIMUL-ATOR - if it does not operate from the other participants - inevitably coincides with the participant functioning as ACTOR. It is accepted as a principle, however, that the difference in participant structure should account for difference in formal implementation. That is why a semantic representation with STIMULATOR is justified, only if modal means are present.

³ On such possibility cf. also R. Huddleston, Some remarks on case-grammar (Lingustic Inquiry, Vol. 1, No. 4 (1970), 501-511).