

## List of Tables

Note: Tables are numbered in the following way: e.g. **tab. II.1.** (II refers to the number of chapter, 1 gives the number of the figure within the given chapter, in numerical order).

## Chapter II:

Tab. II.1. List of rondels in the Czech Republic and the methods of their excavation. Geomorphological location and evidence of other periods. Legend: **X** - was realized ; **excavation method** - 0 - not realized; 1 - sondage; 2 - field survey; **geomorphological location of the rondel** - 1 - plain; 2 - gentle incline; 3 - incline top; 4 - terrace edge; 5 - water source alluvium plain.

Tab. II.2. Metric data and basic description of rondels in the Czech Republic. Dimensions are given in metres. Individual ditches marked as PR1, PR2, PR3, PR4. Entrance type see **fig. II.15** (for the Czech Republic) and **fig. III.12**

## Chapter III:

Tab. III.1. List of rondels in Germany and methods of their excavation. Geomorphological location and evidence of other periods. . Legend: **X** - was realized ; **excavation method** - 0 - not realized; 1 - sondage; 2 - field survey; **geomorphological location of the rondel** - 1 - plain; 2 - gentle incline; 3 - incline top; 4 - terrace edge; 5 - water source alluvium plain.

Tab. III.2. Metric data and basic description of rondels in Germany. Dimensions are given in metres. Individual ditches marked as PR1, PR2, PR3, PR4. For entrance types see **fig. III.12**.

Tab. III.3. List of rondels in Austria and the methods of their excavation. Geomorphological location and evidence of other periods. Legend: **X** - was realized ; **excavation method** - 0 - not realized; 1 - sondage; 2 - field survey; **geomorphological location of the rondel** - 1 - plain; 2 - gentle incline; 3 - incline top; 4 - terrace edge; 5 - water source alluvium plain.

Tab. III.4. Metric data and basic description of rondels in Austria. Dimensions are given in metres. Individual ditches marked as PR1, PR2, PR3, PR4. For entrance types see **fig. III.12**.

Tab. III.5. List of rondels in Slovakia and the methods of their excavation. Geomorphological location and evidence of other periods. Legend: **X** - was realized ; **excavation method** - 0 - not realized; 1 - sondage; 2 - field survey; **geomorphological location of the rondel** - 1 - plain; 2 - gentle incline; 3 - incline top; 4 - terrace edge; 5 - water source alluvium plain.

Tab. III.6. Metric data and basic description of rondels in Slovakia. Dimensions are given in metres. Individual ditches marked as PR1, PR2, PR3, PR4. For entrance types see **fig. III.12**.

Tab. III.7. Comparison of maximum diameters (in metres) of the largest ditches in both culture complexes and in individual cultures.

Tab. III.8. Rondels with extreme diameters of the largest ditches.

Tab. III.9. Comparison of maximum diameters (in metres) of the largest ditches in rondels classified by the number of ditches.

Tab. III.10. Comparison of the total number of ditches with the total number of inner palisade grooves.

Tab. III.11. Comparison of maximum diameters (in metres) of the smallest ditches in both complexes and in individual cultures.

Tab. III.12. Rondels with extreme diameters of the smallest ditches.

Tab. III.13. Comparison of maximum diameters (in metres) of the smallest ditches in rondels classified by the number of ditches.

Tab. III.14. Evidence of entrance type 11 (see **fig. III.12** and **fig. III.13**).

Tab. III.15. Evidence of entrance type 21 (see **fig. 12** and **fig. III.13**).

Tab. III.16. Comparison of maximum diameters (in metres) of the smallest palisade grooves in rondels having between one and three grooves.

Tab. III.17. Rondels with extreme diameters of the smallest palisade grooves.

Tab. III.18. Distance between rondels within one settlement area (in metres).

## Chapter IV:

Tab. IV.1. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). List of types of Neolithic features.

Tab. IV.2. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Evidence of LnK and StK ceramic fragments, and fragments from the Neolithic (not determinable), by their size in individual sectors of the rondel ditch. Classified after *Květina 2002*.

Tab. IV.3. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). List of preserved forms and dimensions of Neolithic features. In bold - dated to StK. For types of ground-plans, profiles and bottom shapes see **fig. IV.4: 1**.

Tab. IV.4. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Evidence of StK vessel shapes and fragment types.

Tab. IV.5. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Material, colour shade, and the state of preservation of the StK pottery surface.

Tab. IV.6. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Decoration methods and techniques of stroked ornaments. Abbreviations used: PO - plastic ornaments; MO - painted ornaments.

Tab. IV.7. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). List of types of Neolithic features.

Tab. IV.8. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). List of preserved forms and dimensions of Neolithic settlement features. In bold - dated to the StK period. For types of ground-plans, profiles and bottom shapes see **fig. IV.4: 1**.

Tab. IV.9. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). List of preserved forms and dimensions of grave pits. For types of ground-plans, profiles and bottom shapes see **fig. IV.4: 1**.

Tab. IV.10. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Total number of ceramic fragments in individual sectors and depth levels (in centimetres) in feature 51.

Tab. IV.11. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Evidence of StK vessel shapes and fragment types from settlement features.

Tab. IV.12. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Evidence of StK vessel shapes and fragment types from graves.

Tab. IV.13. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Material, colour shade, and the state of preservation of surface of StK pottery from settlement features.

- Tab. IV.14. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Material, colour shade, and the state of preservation of surface of StK pottery from graves.
- Tab. IV.15. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Decoration methods and techniques of stroked ornaments from settlement features. Abbreviations used: PO – plastic ornaments; MO – painted ornaments.
- Tab. IV.16. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Decoration methods and techniques of stroked ornaments from graves. Abbreviations used: PO – plastic ornaments; MO – painted ornaments.
- Tab. IV.17. Horoměřice- sand quarry 1974. Settlement features with StK pottery finds. After *Nový – Řídký – Šulová 2005*.
- Tab. IV.18. Evidence of StK vessel shapes and fragment types. After *Nový – Řídký – Šulová 2005*.
- Tab. IV.19. Material, colour shade, and the state of preservation of surface of StK pottery. After *Nový – Řídký – Šulová 2005*.
- Tab. IV.20. Decoration methods and techniques of stroked ornaments from settlement features. Abbreviations used: PO – plastic ornaments; MO – painted ornaments. After *Nový – Řídký – Šulová 2005*.
- Tab. IV.21. Horoměřice-Chotol 1984. Settlement features with StK pottery finds. After *Nový – Řídký – Šulová 2005*.
- Tab. IV.22. Horoměřice- (RD – family house)(Mr. Bečka). Settlement features with StK pottery finds. After *Nový – Řídký – Šulová 2005*.
- Tab. IV.23. Chronology of Neolithic sites in Horoměřice cadastre. “X” indicates evidence. Completed after *Nový – Řídký – Šulová 2005*.
- Tab. IV.24. Distribution of rondels with three entrances of form type 21. (see *fig. III.12* and *fig. III.13*)
- Tab. IV.25. Settlement area in Horoměřice. Comparison of determinable vessel shapes from larger excavations.
- Tab. IV.26. Settlement area in Horoměřice. Comparison of stroked ornament techniques.
- Tab. IV.27. Kněžívka 1998. List of types of settlement features. After *Řídký – Smejtek 2007*.
- Tab. IV.28. Kněžívka 1998. List of preserved forms and dimensions of Neolithic settlement features. In bold – dated to the StK period. After *Řídký – Smejtek 2007*. For types of ground-plans, profiles and bottom shapes see *fig. IV.63: 1*.
- Tab. IV.29. Kněžívka 1998. Frequency of pottery re-joins in features classified by the infilling type (49 features in total) 1. homogenous; 2. containing daub and charcoal particles; 3. containing rain splashes ; 4. stratified; QI – lower quartile (25 %); QIII – upper quartile (75 %). After *Řídký – Smejtek 2007*.
- Tab. IV.30. Kněžívka 1998. Frequency of pottery re-joins in features classified by ground-plan shapes (49 features in total) 1. round; 2. oval; 3. rectangular; 4. irregular; QI – lower quartile (25 %); QIII – upper quartile (75 %). After *Řídký – Smejtek 2007*.
- Tab. IV.31. Kněžívka 1998. Frequency of pottery re-joins in features classified by size (volume in m<sup>3</sup>) ( 39 intact features in total): 1. smaller than 3 m<sup>3</sup>; 2. 3 – 6 m<sup>3</sup>; 3. 6 m<sup>3</sup> and bigger. QI – lower quartile (25 %); QII – upper quartile (75 %). After *Řídký – Smejtek 2007*.
- Tab. IV.32. Kněžívka 1998. Evidence of StK vessel shapes and types. After *Řídký – Smejtek 2007*.
- Tab. IV.33. Kněžívka 1998. Material, colour shade, and the state of preservation of surface of StK pottery. After *Řídký – Smejtek 2007*.
- Tab. IV.34. Kněžívka 1998. Decoration methods and techniques of stroked ornaments from settlement features. Abbreviations used: PO – plastic ornaments; MO – painted ornaments. After *Řídký – Smejtek 2007*.
- Tab. IV.35. Roztoky – Měnírna (electrical substation) 1980-1985. List of ground-plans of features classified by Neolithic cultures. Legend: 1. round; 2. oval; 3. rectangular; 4. irregular. Based on *Kuna 1991*.
- Tab. IV.36. Roztoky – Měnírna (electrical substation) 1980-1985. List of dimensions of settlement features from the Neolithic, classified by ground-plans. Legend: 1. round; 2. oval; 3. rectangular; 4. irregular. Based on *Kuna 1991*.
- Tab. IV.37. Roztoky – Měnírna (electrical substation) 1980-1985. Length and depth of features classified by individual cultures. Based on *Kuna 1991*.
- Tab. IV.38. Roztoky – Měnírna (electrical substation) 1980-1985. List of dimensions of storage features from the StK and LgK periods. Based on *Kuna 1991*.
- Tab. IV.39. Roztoky – Měnírna (electrical substation) 1980-1985. List of dimensions of so called “Schlitzgräbchen” from the Neolithic (in metres). Based on *Kuna 1991*.
- Tab. IV.40. Roztoky – Měnírna (electrical substation) 1980-1985. Frequency of pottery re-joins in StK features classified by the ground-plan shapes ( 74 features in total). 1. round; 2. oval; 3. rectangular; 4. irregular. QI – lower quartile (25 %); QIII – upper quartile (75 %). Based on *Kuna a kol. 1991*.
- Tab. IV.41. Roztoky – Měnírna (electrical substation) 1980-1985. Frequency of pottery re-joins in StK features classified by size (volume in m<sup>3</sup>) (61 intact features in total): 1. smaller than 1 m<sup>3</sup>; 2. 1 – 6 m<sup>3</sup>; 3. 6 m<sup>3</sup> and bigger. QI – lower quartile (25 %); QIII – upper quartile (75 %). Based on *Kuna a kol. 1991*.
- Tab. IV.42. Roztoky – Měnírna (electrical substation) 1980-1985. Evidence of StK vessel shapes. Based on *Rulf 1991*.
- Tab. IV.43. Roztoky – Měnírna (electrical substation) 1980-1985. Decoration methods and techniques of StK stroked ornaments. Based on *Rulf 1991*.
- Tab. IV.44. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Settlement features with StK pottery finds. List of preserved forms and dimensions of Neolithic features. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Tab. IV.45. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. List of relations between houses and pits (see *Pavlu 1977*). Legend: I – definitely relates to a specific house ground-plans; II – diachronical; III – the same as I, relation is likely; IV – the same as II, likely; o – isolated feature; x – no relation. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Tab. IV.46. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. List of relations between house complexes. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Tab. IV.47. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Comparison of dimensions of features classified by ground-plans shapes. Legend 1 – round; 2 – oval; 3 – rectangular; 4 – irregular. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Tab. IV.48. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Evidence of StK vessel shapes and fragment types. By *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Tab. IV.49. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Material, colour shade, and the state of preservation of surface of StK pottery. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Tab. IV.50. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Decoration method and functional applications. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.

Tab. IV.51. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Decoration methods and techniques of StK stroked ornaments . After Řídký – Stolz - Zápotocká 2009.

Tab. IV.52. Černý Vůl 1914. Evidence of StK vessel shapes and fragment types. After Řídký – Stolz - Zápotocká 2009.

Tab. IV.53. Černý Vůl 1914. Decoration methods and techniques of StK stroked ornaments. After Řídký – Stolz - Zápotocká 2009.

Tab. IV.54. Settlement area in Černý Vůl. Comparison of determinable vessel shapes from excavations realized in 1914 and 1975-77.

Tab. IV.55. Settlement area in Černý Vůl . Comparison of techniques of stroked ornaments from excavations realized in 1914 and 1975-77.

the micro-region of Únětický stream basin. Based on Kuna *et al.* 1991; Nový – Řídký – Šulová 2005; Řídký – Smejtek 2007; Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009.

Tab. V.2. Approximate distribution of StK features in individual settlement areas.

Tab. V.3. Comparison of determinable vessel shapes from late Neolithic settlement areas in the Únětický stream basin.

Tab. V.4. Comparison of stroked ornaments techniques from late Neolithic settlement areas in the Únětický stream basin.

#### **Chapter V:**

Tab. V.1. Evidence of chronological stages from the LnK and StK periods in the late Neolithic settlement areas in