

List of Figures

Note: Figures are numbered in the following way: e.g. **fig. IV.38** (IV refers to the number of chapter, 38 gives the number of the figure within the given chapter, in numerical order).

**Chapter I:**

Fig. I.1. Example of several rondel types after *Podborský et al. 1999, Fig. 4.*: **1** – Kothingeichendorf – Těšetice; **2** – Bučany – Svodín; **3** – Lochenice – Unternberg.

Fig. I.2. Distribution of rondels in Central Europe. After *Daim - Neubauer Hrsg. 2005, abb. 1. 13.*

Fig. I.3. Examples of several features analysed geophysically. **A.** Želízy (okr. Mělník); **B.** Praha-Vinoř (Praha 9); **C.** Rondel 1 and 2 in Kolín (Kolín district): geophysical analysis complemented by sondage. After R. Křivánek and R. Šumberová.

Fig. I.4. Examples of rondel ditch excavation. **A.** Horoměřice-Chotol (Prague West district): rondel ditch found after topsoil removal. The entrance is in the top left corner. **B.** Rondel 1 in Kolín (Kolín district): excavating the inner ditch. **C.** Example of a markedly eroded terrain with evidence of a pointed (V- shaped) lower part of the ditch in Vchynice (Litoměřice district). Excavations lead by K. Remišová-Věšínová and J. Beneš (A), R. Šumberová (B), M. Půlpán (C).

Fig. I.5. Rondel 1 in Kolín (Kolín district): at least two reparations (marked by a white line) identified in the course of excavations of the inner (smallest) ditch. Excavation lead by R. Šumberová.

Fig. I.6. Rondel 1 in Kolín (Kolín district): example of an entrance into the inner area of the rondel enclosed by a ditch with the smallest diameter. Excavation lead by R. Šumberová.

Fig. I.7. Reconstruction of a rondel with two ditches and three inner palisades based on excavations in Praha – Ruzyně (Praha 6). Excavations lead by M. Kostka a M. Kuchařík. Photo of a model from exposition *A Journey into the Depths of the City or 12 Top ..... Discoveries of Prague Archaeology* (13<sup>th</sup> May 2009 – 10<sup>th</sup> Jan 2010) in the Museum of the City of Prague.

Fig. I.8. Dolní Beřkovice (Mělník district): example of a double palisade enclosure. After *Foster 2003.*

Fig. I.9. Bylany 4: individual building stages of a settlement area with a rondel. **A.** First stage – LnK features; **B.** Second stage – StK IVa1 features, non-differentiable StK IVa is marked by hatching; **C.** Third stage – StK IVa2 features, non-differentiable StK IVa is marked by hatching. Based on *Pavlu – Rulf - Zápotocká 1995.*

Fig. I.10. **A.** Outer ditch enclosure of the settlement area with a rondel in Künzing-Unternberg (Lower Bavaria). **B.** Palisade enclosure of the rondel in Těšetice-Kyjovice (CZ). After *Daim – Neubauer Hrsg. 2005, abb. 2.2., abb. 1.9.*

Fig. I.11. Traces of digging in the ditch of the rondel in Schletz (Lower Austria). After *Lobisser – Neubauer 2005, abb. 3.*

**Chapter II:**

Fig. II.1. Rondels in the Czech Republic: 1. Benátky nad Jizerou; 2. Březno; 3. Bylany 4/1; 4. Bylany 4/2; 5. Hol-

ohlavy; 6. Horoměřice-Chotol; 7. Kolín 1; 8. Kolín 2; 9. Kolín 3; 10. Kolín 4; 11. Krpy; 12. Lochenice; 13. Poděbrady-Chotánky; 14. Praha-Krč 1; 15. Praha-Krč 2; 16. Praha-Ruzyně; 17. Praha-Vinoř; 18. Skupice; 19. Straškov; 20. Ústí nad Labem; 21. Vchynice; 22. Vochov I; 23. Vochov II; 24. Želízy; 25. Běhařovice; 26. Bulhary; 27. Křepice; 28. Mašovice; 29. Mohelno; 30. Němčičky; 31. Rašovice; 32. Těšetice-Kyjovice; 33. Vedrovice II; 34. Vedrovice III. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.

Fig. II.2. Rondels in Bohemia **1:** Benátky nad Jizerou – aerial photo (A) and results of a geophysical analysis (B); **2:** Skupice – aerial photo; **3:** Straškov – aerial photo; **4:** Želízy – aerial photo (A) and results of a geophysical analysis (B). Aerial photos taken by M. Gojda, geophysical analysis by R. Křivánek (*Gojda ed. 2004, plates 10, 11, 12a; fig. 3.22.*)

Fig. II.3. Rondels in Bohemia **1:** Březno – part of the surveyed ground-plan (A) and a ditch cross section (B). Excavation lead by L. Drápela and M. Bureš; **2:** Ground-plans of rondels in Bylany 4 (A) and a cross section of the inner ditch belonging to feature 4/1. After *Pavlu – Zápotocká 2007, příl. 8; Pavlu – Rulf – Zápotocká 1995, fig. 12;* **3:** Holohlavy – ground-plan of the rondel (A) and a ditch cross section (B). After *Kalferst – Vávra 1998, obr. 2-3;* **4:** Horoměřice-Chotol – reconstruction of the ground-plan (A) and a ditch cross section (B). Excavation lead by K. Remišová-Věšínová a J. Beneš.

Fig. II.4. Rondels in Bohemia **1:** Lochenice – ground-plan of the rondel based on results from a sondage as well as on geophysical analysis (A) and a ditch cross section (B). After *Buchvaldek – Zeman a kol. 1990, obr. 5, 59;* **2:** Praha-Krč – excavated ground-plans of rondels 1 and 2. Excavation lead by L. Smejtek; **3:** Poděbrady-Chotánky – part of the surveyed ground-plan. Excavation lead by Z. Sedláček; **4:** Praha-Vinoř – rondel ground-plan analyzed geophysically. After *Křivánek - Kuna 1993.*

Fig. II.5. Rondels in Bohemia. **1:** Vchynice – rondel ground-plan based on the results of a sondage as well as geophysical analysis (A) and a ditch cross section (B). Excavation lead by M. Půlpán, geophysical analysis by R. Křivánek; **2:** Ústí nad Labem – reconstruction of a rondel ground-plan (A) and an outer ditch cross section (B). Excavation lead by P. Lissek.

Fig. II.6. Rondels in Bohemia **1:** Praha-Ruzyně – rondel ground-plan based on the results of excavations (A) and an inner ditch cross section (B). After *Kuchařík – Švácha 2007, obr. 4.* Excavations lead by M. Kostka and M. Kuchařík; **2:** Location of rondels I and II in Vochov (A), ground-plan of rondel I (B) and the rondels II entrance analyzed geophysically (C). After *Pavlu – Zápotocká 2007, příl. 9; Pavlu 1982, abb. 1.; Metlička 2005, obr. 2.*

Fig. II.7. Rondels in Bohemia **1:** Location of rondels 1, 2 and rondels 3, 4 in the outer city of Kolín **2:** Location of rondels 1, 2 and a preliminary reconstruction of their ground-plans based on the results of a sondage and geophysical analysis. Excavation lead by R. Šumberová, geophysical analysis by R. Křivánek; **3:** Results of geophysical analysis of entrance of rondel 3 in Kolín. Excavation lead by D. Malyková, geophysical analysis by R. Křivánek; **4:** Ground-plan (A) and picture from excavating

“rondel” 4 in Kolín. Excavation lead by D. Malyková, geophysical analysis by R. Křivánek.

- Fig. II.8. Rondels in the Czech Republic by slope exposition (Y-axis – frequency).
- Fig. II.9. Rondels in the Czech Republic by the total number of V-shaped ditches (Y-axis – frequency).
- Fig. II.10. Rondels in the Czech Republic by the total number of V-shaped ditches. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. II.11. Rondels in the Czech Republic by the total number of inner palisade grooves (Y-axis – frequency).
- Fig. II.12. Rondels in the Czech Republic by the total number of inner palisade grooves. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. II.13. Rondels in the Czech Republic by the total number of ditch entrances (Y-axis – frequency).
- Fig. II.14. Rondels in the Czech Republic by the total number of ditch entrances. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. II.15. Entrance form types for rondels in the Czech Republic.
- Fig. II.16. Rondels in the Czech Republic by entrance form types (Y-axis – frequency).
- Fig. II.17. Rondels in the Czech Republic by entrance form types. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. II.18. Rondels in the Czech Republic by the largest ditch diameter (in metres; Y-axis – frequency).
- Fig. II.19. Rondels in the Czech Republic by the largest ditch diameter (in metres). Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. II.20. Rondels with a various number of ditches by cultures (X-axis) and by the largest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. II.21. Rondels with a various number of palisade grooves by cultures (X-axis) and by the largest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. II.22. Rondels with a various number of ditch entrances by cultures (X-axis) and by the largest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. II.23. Rondels with various ditch entrance forms by cultures (X-axis) and by the largest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. II.24. Rondels in the Czech Republic by the smallest ditch diameter (in metres; Y-axis – frequency).
- Fig. II.25. Rondels in the Czech Republic by the smallest ditch diameter. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. II.26. Rondels with a various number of ditches (X-axis) and by the smallest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. II.27. Rondels with a various number of palisade grooves by cultures (X-axis) and by the smallest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. II.28. Rondels with a various number of ditch entrances by cultures (X-axis) and by the smallest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. II.29. Rondels with various ditch entrance forms by cultures (X-axis) and by the smallest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. II.30. Rondels with a various number of palisade grooves by cultures (X-axis) and by the smallest palisade groove diameter (Y-axis, in metres).

### Chapter III:

- Fig. III.1. Rondels from several archaeological cultures, all referred to in this work. For numbering see *tab. III.1-6*. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.

- Fig. III.2. Frequency of rondels, referred to in this work, from individual archaeological cultures.
- Fig. III.3. Both culture complexes by the number of ditches.
- Fig. III.4. Individual archaeological cultures by the number of ditches.
- Fig. III.5. Rondels by the total number of V-shaped ditches. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. III.6. Both culture complexes by the number of palisade grooves.
- Fig. III.7. Individual archaeological cultures by the number of palisade grooves.
- Fig. III.8. Rondels by the number of inner palisade grooves. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. III.9. Both culture complexes by the number of entrances.
- Fig. III.10. Individual archaeological cultures by the number of entrances.
- Fig. III.11. Rondels by the number of entrances. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. III.12. Entrance form types in rondel ditches.
- Fig. III.13. Rondels by entrance form types. For numbering see *Fig. III.12*. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. III.14. Both culture complexes with various cultures by the entrance form types.
- Fig. III.15. Individual archaeological cultures by the entrance form types.
- Fig. III.16. Focusing on entrances in the smallest ditch: Distribution of rondels with entrance formed by a simple interruption in the ditch (in green), or with an out-turned entrance (in red). Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. III.17. Focusing on entrances in the largest ditch: Distribution of rondels with entrance formed by a simple interruption in the ditch (in green), or with an out-turned entrance (in red). Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. III.18. Diameters of largest ditches in both culture complexes (in metres). Intervals based on Sturges rule (Y-axis – frequency).
- Fig. III.19. Diameters of the largest ditch in both culture complexes (in metres). Rondels with extremely high values are highlighted in individual complexes.
- Fig. III.20. Relative frequency of the largest ditch diameter in both culture complexes (X-axis, in metres).
- Fig. III.21. Rondel groups by size based on the diameter of the largest ditch (in metres). Based on Natural Breaks (Jenks) Method. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. III.22. Comparison of diameters of the largest ditch (in metres) in rondels having between 1 to 4 ditches.
- Fig. III.23. Rondels with various number of ditches by cultures (X-axis) and by the largest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. III.24. Rondels with various number of palisade grooves by cultures (X-axis) and by the diameter of the largest ditch (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. III.25. Correspondence analysis (conducted in Canoco for Windows 4.5 program) established quantitative correlation between the smallest ditch diameter (small) and rondels having either 2 or 3 entrances (entr2, entr3), and a relation between the diameter of the largest ditch (large) and rondels having 4 and more entrances (entr4, entr5,6). Rondels having 4 entrances relate to the number of ditches.
- Fig. III.26. Rondels with a different number of ditch entrances by cultures (X-axis) and by the largest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).

- Fig. III.27. Rondels with different types of ditch entrances by cultures (X-axis) and by the largest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. III.28. Rondels in both culture complexes by the smallest ditch diameter (in metres). Intervals based on Sturges rule (Y-axis – frequency).
- Fig. III.29. Comparison of the smallest ditch diameter (in metres) in both culture complexes. In individual complexes, rondels with extremely high values are highlighted.
- Fig. III.30. Relative frequency of the smallest ditch diameter (X-axis, in metres) in both culture complexes.
- Fig. III.31. Rondel groups by size determined by the smallest ditch diameter. Based on Natural Breaks (Jenks) Method. Map basis Earth Satellite Corporation® ESRI®.
- Fig. III.32. Comparison of the smallest ditch diameter (in metres) in rondels having between 1 and 4 ditches.
- Fig. III.33. Rondels with various number of ditches by cultures (X-axis) and by the smallest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. III.34. Rondels with various number of entrances by cultures (X-axis) and by the smallest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. III.35. Rondels with various ditch entrance form types by cultures (X-axis) and by the smallest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. III.36. Rondels with entrance types 11 and 21 by cultures (X-axis) and by the smallest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. III.37. Rondels with entrance types 11 and 21 by the total number of entrances (X-axis) and by the smallest ditch diameter (Y-axis, in metres).
- Fig. III.38. Focusing on entrances in the smallest ditch: Rondels with entrance formed by a simple interruption in the ditch (in green) and with an out-turned entrance (in red) by the total number of entrances (X-axis) and the smallest ditch diameter (Y-axis). In black - rondels where the smallest ditch and the adjacent ditch were interlinked at the entrance (included for comparison).
- Fig. III.39. Rondels in both culture complexes by the smallest palisade groove diameter (in metres). Intervals based on Sturges rule (Y-axis – frequency).
- Fig. III.40. Comparison of the smallest palisade groove diameter in both culture complexes (in metres).
- Fig. III.41. Relation between the smallest palisade (X-axis, in metres) and the largest ditch diameters (Y-axis, in metres).

#### Chapter IV:

- Fig. IV.1. 1: StK sites in Bohemia and the microregion of Únětický stream basin. Based on *Pavlu – Zápotocká 2007, obr. 3*; 2: The system of evaluating Neolithic features; 3: The system of evaluating pottery.
- Fig. IV.2. Settlement area in Horoměřice (Prague West). Archaeological excavations and field surveys in the cadastre. 1) Horoměřice – sand quarry 1974; 2) Engineering networks 1962; 3) Horoměřice–Chotol 1984; 4) Electric cable 2003; 5) RD - family house Mr. Bečka 2003; 6) Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house); 7) Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area); 8-9) Horoměřice-Chotol 2006-2008.
- Fig. IV.3. 1: Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). An overall plan of the site and a reconstruction of a rondel ground-plan; 2: Share of pottery finds

from non-differentiable Neolithic (in white), from LnK (in grey), and StK (in black) in the infilling of the rondel ditch and feature 34.

- Fig. IV.4. 1: Shape types of ground-plans (a), profiles (b), and bottoms (c) of Neolithic features in Horoměřice; 2: Evidence of rondel construction parts. Surveyed sectors marked.
- Fig. IV.5. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Surveyed sectors of the rondel ditch (features 4, 12). Layer description – feature 4 (P1 – P5) 1. grey-black with scarce particles of daub; 2. light grey; 3. red – brown with particles of clay; 4. light loamy; 5. sandy light brown.; feature 12 (P1 – P3): 1. grey-brown with argillite and daub particles; 2. loamy of yellow-white colour; 3. brown-grey with argillite particles; 4. sandy of brown-yellow colour; 5. lighter brown-grey; 6. loamy of dark brown colour; feature 12 (P4 – P5): 1. light brown-grey; 2. darker brown-grey; 3. sandy of orange greenish colour; 4. light brown.
- Fig. IV.6. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Surveyed sectors in the rondel ditch (feature 24) and features 25, 39. Layer description – 1. darker brown with argillite and daub particles; 2. light brown with argillite particles; 3. light brown with argillite and daub particles; 4. darker brown with argillite particles; 5. loamy of orange greenish colour; 6. light brown-grey.
- Fig. IV.7. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). A: Inner palisade grooves – features 6, 14; B: cross-sections inside feature 6; C: superposition of feature 14 (palisade groove) to feature 13 (dated to LnK).
- Fig. IV.8. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Feature 34 and feature 38. Surveyed sectors A – C. Layer description: 1. brown-black with argillite and daub particles; 2. light brown-orange with argillite particles; 3. brown-black with argillite and daub particles; 4. loamy light grey.
- Fig. IV.9. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Feature 34. Surveyed sectors D – G. Layer description: 1. brown-black with argillite and daub particles; 2. light brown-orange with argillite particles; 3. brown-black with argillite and daub particles; 4. loamy light-grey.
- Fig. IV.10. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Feature 34. Surveyed sectors H – M. Layer description: 1. brown-black with argillite and daub particles; 2. light brown orange with argillite particles; 3. brown-black with argillite and daub particles; 4. loamy light grey.
- Fig. IV.11. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Feature 34. Surveyed sectors N – Q. Layer description: 1. brown-black with argillite and daub particles; 2. light brown-orange with argillite particles; 3. brown-black with argillite and daub particles; 4. loamy light grey.
- Fig. IV.12. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Features 3, 5, 17, 22 and their profiles.
- Fig. IV.13. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Features 31, 32, 39, 40, 41, 42, 48 and their profiles.
- Fig. IV.14. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Features 19, 45 and their profiles.
- Fig. IV.15. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Ground-plans of features 3, 13, 20, 30.
- Fig. IV.16. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Profiles of individual sectors (A – I) in feature 13.

- Fig. IV.17. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Profiles of individual sectors in features 13, 20, 30, 38.
- Fig. IV.18. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Determinable StK pottery vessel shapes.
- Fig. IV.19. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Pottery finds from feature 3: 1-3; feature 4: 4-21.
- Fig. IV.20. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Pottery finds from feature 12: 1-19.
- Fig. IV.21. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Pottery finds from feature 12: 1-5; feature 24: 6-11; feature 13: 12-15; feature 34: 16-18.
- Fig. IV.22. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Pottery finds from feature 34: 1-10.
- Fig. IV.23. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Pottery finds from feature 34: 1-19.
- Fig. IV.24. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Pottery finds from feature 34: 1-15.
- Fig. IV.25. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Pottery finds from feature 34: 1-10.
- Fig. IV.26. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Pottery finds from feature 34: 1-10.
- Fig. IV.27. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (RD - family house). Pottery finds from feature 34: 1, 2; feature 38: 3-6; feature 39: 7-9.
- Fig. IV.28. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). An overall site plan. Cremation burials are marked by "+".
- Fig. IV.29. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Features 17, 55, 59, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 76 and their profiles. Layer description: 1. dark brown; 2. dark brown with slate and large daub fragments; 3. red layer - daub; 4. dark, mixed with charcoal; 5. sandy, light yellow.
- Fig. IV.30. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Feature 51 with documented profiles. Layer description: 1. dark brown; 2. dark brown with slate and large daub fragments; 3. red layer - daub; 4. dark, mixed with charcoal; 5. sandy, light yellow.
- Fig. IV.31. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). StK cremation burial site. Features 20/P3, 21 - 34, 37, 39.
- Fig. IV.32. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). StK cremation burial site. Features 40, 41, 43 - 49.
- Fig. IV.33. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). **1:** Determinable StK vessel shapes from settlement features; **2:** Determinable vessel shapes from StK graves.
- Fig. IV.34. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Pottery finds from feature 19: 1-3; feature 51: 4-23.
- Fig. IV.35. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Pottery finds from feature 58: 1-3; feature 61: 4; feature 62: 5 - 11; feature 63: 12 - 14.
- Fig. IV.36. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). Pottery finds from feature 63: 1; feature 66: 2; feature 73: 3-4; feature 81: 5-9; sondage III: 10; collection from whole site: 11-13.
- Fig. IV.37. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). StK cremation burial site. Pottery finds from feature 20/P3: A, B; feature 21: 1-2; feature 22: 1; feature 23: A; feature 26: 1-4; feature 28: 1-2; feature 31: 1; feature 33: 1.
- Fig. IV.38. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). StK cremation burial site. Pottery finds from feature 24: 1-2; feature 34: 1; feature 39: 1-2.
- Fig. IV.39. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). StK cremation burial site. Pottery finds from feature 27: 1-2; feature 43: 1-3; feature 40: 1-3; feature 47: 1.
- Fig. IV.40. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). StK cremation burial site. Pottery finds from feature 35: 1; feature 36: 2-3; feature 46: 1-2.
- Fig. IV.41. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). StK cremation burial site. Pottery finds from feature 48: 1-5.
- Fig. IV.42. Horoměřice-Chotol 2003 (living area). StK cremation burial site. Pottery finds from feature 89: 1-6.
- Fig. IV.43. **1:** Horoměřice - sand quarry 1974. Feature II with profiles; **2:** Horoměřice - Chotol 1984. Distribution of features. After *Nový - Řídký - Šulová 2005*.
- Fig. IV.44. **1:** Horoměřice - RD - family house (Mr. Bečka). Feature 1 with profiles; **2:** Horoměřice 2003 (electric cable). Evidence of profiles in features 1 - 3. After *Nový - Řídký - Šulová 2005*.
- Fig. IV.45. **1:** Horoměřice-Chotol 1984. Finds from feature 2; **2:** Horoměřice-Chotol 1984. Finds from feature 2. After *Nový - Řídký - Šulová 2005*.
- Fig. IV.46. **1:** Horoměřice-Chotol 1984. Finds from feature 2; **2:** Horoměřice-Chotol 1984. Finds from feature 3. After *Nový - Řídký - Šulová 2005*.
- Fig. IV.47. **1:** Horoměřice-Chotol 1984. Finds from feature 4; **2:** Horoměřice-Chotol 1984. Finds from feature 4: 1, feature 5: 2-12. After *Nový - Řídký - Šulová 2005*.
- Fig. IV.48. **1:** Horoměřice-Chotol 1984. Finds from feature 5: 1-18; **2:** Horoměřice-Chotol 1984. Finds from feature 5: 1 - 14; feature 6: 18 - 19; feature 8: 15, 17, 22; feature 9: 16, 20, 21, 23. After *Nový - Řídký - Šulová 2005*.
- Fig. IV.49. **1:** Horoměřice-RD - family house (Mr. Bečka). Finds from feature 1: 1-10; **2:** Horoměřice-sand quarry. NZ 2299/67 (S. Venc). After *Nový - Řídký - Šulová 2005*.
- Fig. IV.50. **1:** Horoměřice-sand quarry 1974. Finds from feature II: 1 - 12; Horoměřice-sand quarry 1974. Finds from feature II: 1 - 9, 11; **2:** finds from vicinity of feature II - collection from whole site: 10, 12 - 17. After *Nový - Řídký - Šulová 2005*.
- Fig. IV.51. **1:** Late Neolithic settlement area in Horoměřice; **2:** Late Neolithic settlement area in Bylany and Miskovice near Kutná Hora (after *Zápotocká 1987; 2005*). Models of chronological and spatial changes.
- Fig. IV.52. **1:** Settlement area in Kněževs and Kněžívka (Prague West). Archaeological excavations and field surveys in the cadastre. 1) Čermák's mill; 2) road Praha - Slaný; 3) industrial premises 1998; 4) Kněžívka 1998; **2:** The excavation area in Kněžívka 1998. White features - later than the Neolithic or not dated. Grey features - the Neolithic. Features marked by hatching- LnK. Black features- StK. After *Řídký - Smejtek 2007*.
- Fig. IV.53. **1:** Kněžívka 1998. Neolithic features by volumes. White features- less than 3 m<sup>3</sup>. Grey features- 3-6 m<sup>3</sup>. Black features-more than 6 m<sup>3</sup>. Only larger features are given numbers; **2:** Kněžívka 1998. Numbered features- potential Neolithic storage pits. Black features - storage pits. Grey features - probable storage pits. White features - less probable storage pits. After *Řídký - Smejtek 2007*.
- Fig. IV.54. **1:** Kněžívka 1998. Types of infilling in Neolithic features based on **Fig. IV.63:** 1-4. White features - type 1; Features marked by hatching - type 2; grey features -- type 3; black features- type 4; **2:** Kněžívka 1998. Frequency of Neolithic pottery in features by the median (median is 7 pieces). White features - 1-6 pieces; features marked by hatching - 7-27 pieces; black features - more than 28. After *Řídký - Smejtek 2007*.
- Fig. IV.55. **1:** Kněžívka 1998. Density of pottery re-joins per 1 m<sup>3</sup> (median 2,91); **2:** Kněžívka 1998. Frequency of

pottery with stroked ornaments in StK features (median 3). After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.56. **1:** Kněžívka 1998. Early stage of StK in the site. White features – the Neolithic. Features marked by hatching – not determinable StK. Black features – StK II, StK III and StK II/III; **2:** Kněžívka 1998. Later stage of StK in the site. White features – the Neolithic. Features marked by hatching – non-specified StK. Black features – StK IV. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.57. Kněžívka 1998. Neolithic features 3, 7-9, 11-13. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.58. Kněžívka 1998. Neolithic features 14, 16, 19, 20, 22, 24-27, 29, 30. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.59. Kněžívka 1998. Neolithic features 34, 35, 42, 43, 44, 47, 52, 53, 55, 56. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.60. Kněžívka 1998. Neolithic features 58, 61, 63, 64, 67-69, 71, 73, 75. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.61. Kněžívka 1998. Neolithic features 83-86, 92, 93, 99, 100. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.62. Kněžívka 1998. Neolithic features 121-124, 128, 129. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.63. Kněžívka 1998. **1:** Neolithic features from Kněžívka: shape types of ground-plans (a), profiles (b), bottoms (c) and types of infilling (d). **2:** Determinable pottery vessel shapes from the StK period. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.64. Kněžívka 1998. Finds from feature 7: 1-7; feature 8: 8-20. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.65. Kněžívka 1998. Finds from feature 8: 1-5; feature 11: 6-10; feature 12: 11-15; feature 13: 16-19. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.66. Kněžívka 1998. Finds from feature 13: 1; feature 19: 2-3; feature 22: 4-8; feature 25: 9-11, 14; feature 26: 12; feature 27: 13, 15-16; feature 29: 17-19. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.67. Kněžívka 1998. Finds from feature 30: 1-5; feature 34: 6-8; feature 40: 9-11; feature 42: 12-15; feature 44: 16-18; feature 52: 19-20. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.68. Kněžívka 1998. Finds from feature 55: 1-2; feature 58: 3-7; feature 59: 8; feature 61: 9-13; feature 65: 14-15; feature 66: 16-21. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.69. Kněžívka 1998. Finds from feature 67: 1-14; feature 69: 15; feature 70: 16-21; feature 83: 22-25. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.70. Kněžívka 1998. Finds from feature 85: 1-8; feature 86: 9-11; feature 88: 12; feature 92: 13; feature 95: 14-17; feature 98: 18-19; feature 99: 20-21; feature 100: 22-25. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.71. Kněžívka 1998. Finds from feature 100: 1; feature 109: 2; feature 110: 4; feature 111: 3; feature 118: 9-10; feature 119: 5, 7-8; feature 120: 11; feature 121: 12-14; feature 122: 15; feature 128: 18; feature 129: 16-17. After Řídký – Smejtek 2007.

Fig. IV.72. **1:** Roztoky. Sites with finds from the Neolithic. Detail from a map 12-241. 1) electrical substation 1980-1985; 2) castle; 3) Roztoky bypass 2006; **2:** The excavation area in Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 - 1985. White features – later than the Neolithic or not dated. Grey features – the Neolithic. Based on Kuna a kol. 1991.

Fig. IV.73. **1:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Numbers for different types of infilling: **1)** 1. brown/ black-brown homogeneous; 2. naturally layered, i.e. at the bottom is the infilling lighter mixed with loess, above it darker homogene-

ous infilling; homogeneous brown/ black-brown, loess or mixed landslides along the profiles-walls; 4. as in 3 but mixed with distinct dark charcoal or daub inter-layers at the bottom; 5. distinct dark charcoal or daub layers at the bottom, the rest is homogeneous brown/ black-brown; 6. distinct dark charcoal or daub layers at the bottom, the rest brown with loess particles, or with loess inter-layers; 7. non-homogeneous brown, i.e. brown with loess particles, distinct loess inter-layers; 8. non-homogeneous brown, i.e. brown with loess or black grains; 9. non-homogeneous brown, naturally layered or loess landslides at the bottom (as in 2 and 3) 0. non- determined, all features in depth lower than 30 cm incorporated in here; **2)** profile types: 1. oblique; 2. vertical ; 3. oblique combined with vertical; 4. conical; 5. conical combined with vertical or oblique; **3)** bottom types: 1. flattened; 2. unevenly flattened; 3. flattened or unevenly flattened with postholes; 4. uneven; 5. basin shaped; 6. V-shaped. After Kuna 1991, obr. 5.; **2:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Ground-plan of house II. After Kuna 1991, obr. 3.; **3:** Determinable pottery vessel shapes from the StK period.

Fig. IV.74. **1:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Dating of Neolithic features after Kuna 1991, tab. 2; **2:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Chronological classification of StK features after Kuna 1991, tab. 2.

Fig. IV.75. **1:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Intact Neolithic features by volumes (m<sup>3</sup>); **2:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Intact StK features by volume (m<sup>3</sup>). Based on Kuna a kol. 1991.

Fig. IV.76. **1:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Neolithic features in the area by their presumed primary function; **2:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Presumed so called storage features in the area, based on the chronological classification of J. Rulf. After Rulf 1991.

Fig. IV.77. **1:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Frequency of StK pottery re-joins in features by the median (median = 16) **2:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Density of StK pottery re-joins per 1 m<sup>3</sup> by the median (median = 15,95). Based on Kuna a kol. 1991.

Fig. IV.78. **1:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Frequency of pottery with stroked ornaments in StK features (median = 4) **2:** Roztoky – měnirna (electrical substation) 1980 – 1985. Groups of Neolithic storage features. Each group consists of adjacent features. Based on Kuna a kol. 1991.

Fig. IV.79. **1:** Černý Vůl. Sites with finds from the Neolithic. Detail from a map 12-241. The numbers in the figure correspond with the numbers in the chapter **2:** Černý Vůl. Detail from a cadastral map. 1) Krolmus half 19<sup>th</sup> century; 2) Stocký 1914; 3) Stocký 1914, Kudrnáč 1949; 4) Zápotocká, Sankot, Vojtěchovská 1975-77. After Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009.

Fig. IV.80. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Excavation areas A - D. Legend: White features – later than the Neolithic or not analyzed; black features – the Neolithic, non-determinable; blue features – LnK; green features –

StK; yellow features – LnK and StK features mixed; red lines – the premises of Neolithic houses. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.

- Fig. IV.81. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. 1: Neolithic features from Černý Vůl: shape types of ground-plans (a), profiles (b), bottoms (c) and types of infilling (d). 2: Determinable pottery vessel shapes from the StK period. Based on *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.82. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. In black - storage features in the excavated areas. In grey - probable storage features. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.83. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Features 6 – 11, 14, 17 - 19, 21 – 23, 26, 30. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.84. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Features 33, 36, 39 – 43, 45, 48, 50, 61, 67, 70, 75, 76. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.85. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Features 77 – 79, 83, 85, 91, 92, 100, 102 – 105. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.86. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Features 107 – 108. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.87. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Pottery finds from feature 10: 1 – 5. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.88. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Pottery finds from feature 10: 1 – 11; Feature 13: 12 – 15. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.89. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Pottery finds from feature 13: 1 – 7, 9; Feature 17: 8, 10 – 14. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.90. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Pottery finds from feature 17: 1 – 11. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.91. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Pottery finds from feature 18: 1 – 8; Feature 19: 9 – 10. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.92. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Pottery finds from feature 21: 1 – 8; feature 22: 9 – 11; feature 23: 12; feature 26: 13 – 16. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.93. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Pottery finds from feature 26: 1 – 4; feature 30: 5; feature 51: 6 – 10; feature 77: 11 – 14. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.94. Černý Vůl 1975-1977. Pottery finds from feature 77: 1 – 3; feature 79: 4; feature 100: 5 - 6; feature 106: 7 – 12; feature 26: 13 – 14; feature 51: 15 – 16. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.95. Černý Vůl 1914. Pottery finds from pit I: 1 - 22; pit II: 23 – 48. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.
- Fig. IV.96. Černý Vůl 1914. Pottery finds from pit II: 1. After *Řídký – Stolz – Zápotocká 2009*.