Bubeník, Vít

On the remaking of the middle voice in Indo-Iranian

In: Grammaticvs: studia linguistica Adolfo Erharto quinque et septuagenario oblata. Šefčík, Ondřej (editor); Vykypěl, Bohumil (editor). Vyd. 1. V Brně: Masarykova univerzita, 2001, pp. 34-44

ISBN 8021026499

Stable URL (handle): https://hdl.handle.net/11222.digilib/123189

Access Date: 28. 11. 2024

Version: 20220831

Terms of use: Digital Library of the Faculty of Arts, Masaryk University provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use, unless otherwise specified.



VÍT BUBENÍK

ON THE REMAKING OF THE MIDDLE VOICE IN INDO-IRANIAN

The study of the origins and prehistory of the middle voice in the overall context of the verbal system(s) of Ancient Indo-European languages was in the focus of the attention of Indoeuropeanists from the very beginnings of their discipline. Professor Erhart's activity in this area culminated in his 1989 monograph Das indoeuropäische Verbalsystem, where he also makes a number of observations on later developments in individual branches of IE. The following lines limited to the Indo-Iranian family, are intended to highlight some of the salient aspects of the remaking of the middle voice by means of analytic morphology.

1. Synthetic and analytic formations of Middle Indo-Iranian dialects

Early MIA dialects – most notably Ardha-Māgadhī – continued and further developed the OIA synthetic mediopassive morphology by adopting the stem of the passive (in -ya or -ijja) as a base for innovative temporal and participial forms. The present, agrist and the future tense forms are shown in (1):

(1)				
Ardha-Māgadhī	Present	Aorist	Future	Participle
Active	kuvv-ai	kuvv-it(t)hā	kar-iss-ai	kar-anta
Med/Passive	kijj-ai (< kri-ya-te)	kijj-it(t)ĥā (<*kri-y-ista)	kijj-ih-ii (<*kri-y-işya-	kar-ijj-anta (<*kr-iya-
			te)	māṇa)

Also the OIA imperfective mediopassive participle in $-m\bar{a}na$ (kriyamāṇa) was remodelled by means of the active suffix -anta; later on, in Apabhraṃśa, the form kar-ijj-anta was reduced to k-ijj-anta.

It should also be observed that the singular form of the active aorist displays the suffix of its mediopassive counterpart (< *kurv-iṣ-ta) while the plural form of the active aorist, kar-iṃ-su, developed apparently by methesis from the active form of the sigmatic aorist *kar-iṣ-uṃ > kar-iṃ-su. The uniform suffix -it(t)ha in the singular is indicative of the transition from an earlier overlapping exponence to the agglutinative exponence for voice and aspect. Matters were different in the future where OIA relied only on the mediopassive suffix. In MIA the future was also built on the passive stem and the suffix marked exclusively the person and number; contrast the OIA form lep-sy-a-se "you will taint yourself" with AMg lipp-ih-i-si.

Pāli discontinued the mediopassive morphology of the perfect, aorist and future. A number of relic forms appear in the present (bharate, carries for

himself"). As in AMg the OIA passive kri-ya-te is now inflected actively, kariy-ati (also kayirati) "is done" (cf. also Buddhist Sanskrit dṛśyatu "be it regarded", vadhiṣyam "I will be slain", vucyāmi "I am told" in Edgerton 1953:182). The passive in the preterite and the future tense is now formed analytically by combining the participles, the PP kata and the gerundive kātabba, respectively, with the copula. The preterite form of the copula, ahū (< abhavat), is usually omitted, and the construction consisting of the future tense of the copula and the gerundive, kātabba bhavissati "it will be done" possesses also modal meaning "it should be done". Pertinent examples are provided in (2) and (3):

(2) evam me sutam [Mayrhofer 1951:195]
thus=me hear+PP
"Thus it was heard by me"

The passive interpretation of (2) is more likely than the ergative interpretation "Thus I heard". In early MIA the latter would be realized by the active preterite (< aorist) evam assosim (cf. Sanskrit evam asrauṣam).

(3) na hantabbo
not kill+GERVE+NOM
"He will not be killed" ~ "He should not be killed"

The rapid decay of mediopassive synthetic forms was precipitated by the raising of mid vowels ($\bar{e} > e > I$, $\bar{o} > o > U$, cf. Bubenik 1996: 29-33). The elimination of the crucial phonological contrast between \bar{e} and i available in OIA resulted in the elimination of the morphological contrast between the middle and the active diathesis. Furthermore, even the contrast between the active and the synthetic passive was considerably weakened; given the identity of their suffixes this contrast continued to be carried solely by the shape of the passive stem. This could be identical with its active counterpart (e.g. chijjati meant both "it is split" or "he splits"; but the latter could also be realized by chindati); or it could be differentiated only by the geminate (e.g. labbhati "it is taken" vs. labhati "he takes"); or, in the case of the roots in nasals by the geminate palatal in the passive vs. the dental nasal in the active (e.g. haññati "he is killed" vs. "he kills"). The situation was alleviated in the 3^{rd} Pl by the adoption of the suffix of the perfect -(a)re limited to the mediopassive. All these forms are surveyed in (4):

(4)			
Pāli	3 rd Sg	3 rd Pl	
Active	han-ati [hanatı]	hah-anti [hanantı]	"they kill"
Middle	han-ate [hanati]	han-ante [hananti]	"they kill for themselves"
Passive	haññ-ati [haɲ:atı]	haññ-ante [hajn:anti]	"they are killed"
		- haññ-are [haɲ:arı]	

On the Iranian side the contrast between the active and the mediopassive forms was apparently more viable in that the stem-forming element -ya of the latter category became -ye in late Avestan:

(5)
Active Indirect reflexive Verbum sentiendi Passive
barai-ti/ī yazai-te/ē man-ye-te bar-ye-te

(spelled bairiiete)

"carries" "worships (for himself)" "thinks" "is carried"

The lengthening in the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} Sg active suffixes ($-eh\bar{i}$, $-ait\bar{i}$ vs. middle -ehe, aite) may be understood as an effort to keep these two categories from their phonological merging for the same reason as in Pāli above: $x\bar{s}ayeh\bar{i}$ (active) "you reign" vs. $x\bar{s}ayehe$ (middle). Nevertheless, phonological erosion of the contrast between $|\bar{e}|$ and |i| precipitated ultimately their complete merger. As a sign of these things happening, in Iranian — as in Indic — we find mediopassive forms spelled with active suffixes. For instance, the verb man, think", a medium tantum in Gātha-Avestan, appears with the active suffix in late Zoroastrian Liturgy:

(6) maniia mananha humatəm [Aog 25]
think+IMP mind+INSTR good-thought
"do thou think good thought with thy mind"

Other examples, such as hištaiti "stands" (~ hištaitē, root stā-), mairiiāti "stands" (vs. OIA mriyate), yazənti "they are worshipped", were assembled by Kellens (1984:80–81).

Late MIA (Apabhraṃśa) replaced the active preterite $ak\bar{a}si$ (< OIA aorist $ak\bar{a}rṣat$) by the ergative construction tena kiyau. The present passive is continued with further phonological development seen in kar-iy-ati > kar-ijj-ai, later $> k\bar{i}r-ai$ or k-ijj-ai. In the preterite the copula $\bar{a}si$ (< OIA $\bar{a}s\bar{\imath}t$) continued to be used in conjunction with the PP; in the perfect and the future tense, however, the copula was replaced by the verb "to go": tena kiyau gayau lit. done gone "[it] was done by him" (vs. the ergative construction tena kiyau "he did [it]"). Examples with the auxiliary "to go" in the future tense of the type tena kiyau $j\bar{a}-is-ai$ lit. done go+FUT+3SG "it will be done by him" are rare. One of them, from Haribhadra's $Sanatkum\bar{a}racarita$ (of the 12^{th} c.) is presented in (7):

(7) kaha maim diṭṭhau jāisai ehu [Sc 631.8] how I+INSTR see+PP go+FUT+3SG this "How will I be able to see this [city]?"

The system of the synthetic passive of early MIA (Ardha-Māgadhī) and that of the analytic passive of late MIA (Apabhramśa) are contrasted in (8):

(8) Ardha-Māgadhī Synthetic passive	Present k-ijj-ai	Aorist k-ijj-ithā	Future k-ijj-ih-ii	Perfect
Apabhramsa Analytic passive	kiyau (-> erg)	kiyau āsi	kiyau jāisai	kiyau gayau

On the Iranian side, early Middle Persian possessed synthetic forms in the present/future $kun-\bar{i}h\bar{e}d/kir-\bar{e}d$, it is (being)/will be made" and the imperfect $a-kir-\bar{i}h$, it was made" (cf. Brunner 1977:213). The latter form is actually documented only once (in the inscription of Šābuhr I at Ka^sba-yi Zardušt) and represents a residual imperfect form from Old Iranian (of the type *kir-ya-ta cf. Sanskrit kri-ya-te, imperfect a-kri-ya-ta):

(9) u=m akirīh nām kirdēr [KKZ 8] and=my make+IMPF+3SG name Kirdēr "I was titled Kirdēr" (lit. my name was being made K.) vs. perfective u=m kard nām Kirdēr "My title was made Kirdēr".

In later Middle Persian the analytic passive formations (in the present, perfect and pluperfect) became more and more common. Their synopsis, following Brunner (1977:213) and Sundermann (1989:152), is given in (10):

/1A

(10) Early MP (synthetic passive)	Present/Future kun-īhēd ~ kirēd	Imperfect a-kir-īh	Perfec	Pluperfect
(analytic passive)			paymöxt hēm "I have been dressed"	<i>paymöxt būd</i> <i>hēm</i> "I had been
Late Middle Persian (analytic passive)	kard baw-ēd "it is (being)/will be made"		kard ēstēd "it has been made"	dressed kard būd/ēstād "it had been made"

Unlike in Indic, the perfect in late MP was formed by the auxiliary $\bar{e}st\bar{a}dan$, stand, be"; the pluperfect may be expressed in two ways: by attaching the PP of $\bar{e}st\bar{a}dan$ or that of the copula $b\bar{u}dan$, be" to the PP of the main verb.

On the Indic side, with the employment of the auxiliary "to go" in the system of voice, the copula was freed for the employment in the system of aspect and tense. Earlier forms of the copula, atthi "is" (< OIA asti) and āsi "was" (< OIA āsīt), were replaced by acchai (< OIA rcchati "arises") and thiu (< OIA sthita "stood"), respectively. As in the other IE languages, the two grammatical aspects of Apabhramśa are built on the past and the present participle. The latter may also be replaced by the gerund in -eppi or -eppinu. As

shown in (11), in Apabhramsa texts these two aspect are found only in the present and the past tense:

(11)

Apabhramśa Perfect Progressive (aspect)
PP + Copula Pres Part + Copula Gerund + Copula

Present gayau acchai karantu acchai kareppi(nu) acchai
Past gayau āsi ~ thiu karantu acchiu ~ thiu kareppi(nu) thiu

The combination of the PP plus the copula is actually inherited from OIA: $\bar{a}gato$ 'smi (~ jagama) "I have come" (Ich bin gekommen) > MIA $\bar{a}gato$ 'mhi. In OIA with transitive verbs the PP was enlarged by the suffix -vant: aham kṛtavant (M) ~ kṛtavatī (F) "I have done" (lit. I am the one who has done).

The progressive aspect in early MIA could be expressed by combining the present participle of the main verb with verbs such as *tisthati* "stands" and *vicarati* "walks" (of the type *karanto tisthati* "is making"); the habitual aspect by combing the absolutive of the main verb with the verb *vattati* "becomes". These early 'experiments' were paradigmatized in Apabhramśa as sketched above; in addition to the copula *acchai* there are also examples with the auxiliary *thakkai* "stands" (for examples cf. Bubenik 1998:104–111).

It is of interest to observe that also in late Medieval Sanskrit the auxiliary $sth\bar{a}$ -, "stand" became widely used for the expression of tense. For instance, in Jambhaladatta's $Vet\bar{a}lapa\bar{n}cavim\dot{s}atik\bar{a}$ (of the 14th c.) the whole paradigmatic set of analytic expressions exploiting all the possible forms of $sth\bar{a}$ - is available: $kurvans\ tisthati$, "he is doing", $kurvans\ sth\bar{a}syati$, "he will be doing", $kurvans\ atisthat$, "he was doing" (even with the auxiliary in the aorist and perfect!).

In Middle Persian after the loss of the synthetic middle voice morphology the main exponent of the 'experiential' meaning (cf. Andersen 1984) became the auxiliry $\bar{e}st\bar{a}dan$ "to stand, be". It corresponds to Indic $sth\bar{a}$ — which, however, was a temporal auxiliary; a functional counterpart in late MIA was the verb $j\bar{a}$ — "to go" (< OIA y_-). The following examples are taken from $Ard\bar{a}$ Wirāz Nāmag (ca. 8th c.) and Kārnāmag \bar{i} Ardašīr (very late MP):

	(12)								
	hu-rust		kū	pad	frārōnīk	1	rust	ēstād	[AWN 9.1]
	well-gro	own	that	in	virtue		grow+PP stand+PP		
	"well gr	own that	is she wa	as grown	in virtue	44		(stative)
	sāsān	az	tōxm=ē	_	Dārāy		zād	ēstēd	[KAP 1.7]
	Sāsān	from	seed GF	EN	Dārā y		born	stands	
"Sāsān has been born from the lineage of Dārāy"				(change	of state))			
	kē	tan	andar	dēg-ē	_	rōyēn	kard	ēstēd	[AWN 38.15]
	whose	body	in	pot=GE	N	copper	do+PP	stand+3	BSG
"whose body has been put in a copper pot" (passive)									

(12)

The verb ēstādan,,to stand" functions also as an aspecto-temporal auxiliary; contrast bewtween šud ēstēnd (present perfect),,they have gone" and šud (hēnd) (preterite),,they went".

2. Analytic realization of the middle voice in New Indo-Iranian languages

At the end of the late MIA period we encounter earliest attempts to compensate for the eliminated category of the middle voice ($\bar{a}tmanepada$) by analytic formations involving two lexical auxiliaries: $j\bar{a}n\bar{a}$,,to go" with intransitive and transitive verbs and $len\bar{a}$,,to take" with transitive verbs. Given the identity of the middle and passive suffixes in OIA the choice of the former auxiliary for both the analytic middle and the passive is understandable. Thus the change of state, such as ,,he (has) died", expressed by the middle voice aorist amrta in Sanskrit, is realized by combining the PP $j\bar{a}u$,,gone"(< OIA $j\bar{a}ta$) with the PP of the verb ,,to die" in Apabhramśa:

```
(13)
so jāu jji muu [Pc 36.5.9]
he go+PP PRT die+PP
"He (has) died"
```

On the other hand, the PP gau "gone", belonging to another OIA root (gam) would be used to express the passive voice as in (14):

```
(14)
so kālameha vaņe diṭṭhu gau [Pc 19.17.5]
that Kālameha forest+LOC see+PP go+PP
"That Kālameha was seen in the forest"
```

At the end of this line of evolution, in Hindi, we witness the same verb $j\bar{a}n\bar{a}$, whose PP is now the suppletive form $gay\bar{a}$, functioning as both the auxiliary in the passive construction and a variety of constructions expressing most notably the completive events and changes of statec; cf. examples in (15):

```
(15)
vah
                                                  (passive)
        dekhā
                         gayā
he
        see+PP
                         go+PP
"He was seen"
                                                  (completive event)
ham
        steśan
                         pahumc
                                          gae
        station
                         arrive
                                          go+PP
"We got to the station"
                                                  (change of state)
vah
        mar
                 gayā
                go+PP
he
        die
"He (has) died"
```

In (15), unlike in Apabhramsa in (13), the auxiliary jānā is combined with

the bare verbal root, not the PP. The same lexical auxiliary may be used to express a completive event; given its intransitive meaning, its choice precludes the use of the ergative construction:

(16)
larkā pūrā pannā parh gayā
boy entire page read go+PP
"The boy read through the entire page"

Another category of experiential meaning, such as the indirect reflexive, denoting an action done explicitly for one's own purpose, is expressed by the auxiliary $len\bar{a}$, to take"; given its transitivity, the resulting construction is ergative:

(17)

larke=ne pūrā pannā parh liyā

boy+OBL=ERG entire page read take+PP

"The boy read the entire page (silently for himself)"

With the auxiliary denā "to give" the meaning would be the reading "for someone else's benefit":

(18)
larke=ne pūrā pannā parh diyā
boy+OBL=ERG entire page read give+PP
"The boy read out the entire page (in the class)"

These two auxiliaries indicate opposite directions of the action are called appropriately 'versive' and 'ablative' by Chatterjee (1988). Another fitting minimal pair is provided by Hook (1979:64), reproduced in (19):

(19)					
vah	kar	lījiye	cf. Czech	u-dělejte=si	to
it	do	take+IMP		PERF+do+IMP=REFL+DAT	it
"Do th	us (for y	ourself)"		"Do it for yourself"	
vah	kar	dījiye		u-dělejte	to
it	do	give+IMP		PERF+do+IMP	it
"Do this (for someone else)"			"Do it"		

The same contrast in Sanskrit would be expressed by the middle voice imperative *kuruṣva* vs. its active counterpart *kuru*; or, in Slavic languages with the morphological category of reflexive verbs, such as Czech, the same contrast would be realized by the reflexive pronoun in the dative *si* vs. its absence.

In Hindi the auxiliary $len\bar{a}$ is used above all with 'ingestive' verbs ($kh\bar{a}n\bar{a}$, to eat", $p\bar{i}n\bar{a}$, to drink', etc.) representing physical experience par excellence;

in combination with abstract objects a number of allosemes of the basic physical experience can be distinguished. Nespital (1996:249) characterized $len\bar{a}$ as indicating that the actor performs the action himself, or in his own benefit, or for his own pleasure (i.e. indirect reflexive) or that the actor is at the same the goal of the action (i.e. direct reflexive). In (1997:285) he listed seven allosemes of the compound auxiliary $kh\bar{a}$ $len\bar{a}$, to take something as food": $m\bar{a}r$ $kh\bar{a}$ $len\bar{a}$ indicates that the actor "allows to be beaten"; in γam $kh\bar{a}$ $len\bar{a}$ the actor "swollows his grief willingly" or "by restraining himself"; in dhakke $kh\bar{a}$ $len\bar{a}$ the actor "suffers indignities enduringly". With verbs of mental experience, called traditionally verba sentiendi (such as $samajhn\bar{a}$, to understand", $s\bar{i}khn\bar{a}$ "to learn", $pahc\bar{a}nn\bar{a}$ "to recognize", etc.) the use equivocates between $j\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ and $len\bar{a}$. According to Hook (1979:65) the combination with $len\bar{a}$ implies 'some measure of conscious effort' while that with $j\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ implies that 'the mental event occurs as if of itself'. Examine the minimal pair in (20):

(20)maim=ne pahcān livā ki vah kaun hai take+PP I+ERG recognize that he who is "I figured out who he was" maim pahcān gaī ki vah kaun hai Ι recognize go+PP/F that he who is "I (Fem) realized who he was"

In the same vein Nespital (1997:1121-2) distinguishes between kisī kī bāteṃ samajh jānā "to understand someone's words" and koī bāt samajh lenā "to understand something due to one's experience/prior knowledge").

There is some evidence in our Apabhramsa texts that this analytic stage had already been reached by the end of the late MIA period. In addition to le, take" also $n\bar{i}$, take" had a general reflexive sense heralding thus the NIA state of affairs. For instance, the Apabhramsa construction niu $\bar{a}lingeppinu$ in

(21)

vijjulangu niu ālingeppiņu [Pc 25.4.9]

Vidyudanga take+PP embrace+GER

"[The king] embraced Vidyudanga"

achieves the same effect as its Sanskrit counterpart with the verb "to embrace" in the middle voice:

(22) rājā vidyudangam ālingata

In general terms, MIA by losing the mediopassive morphology of OIA did not lose the ability to express the whole range of allosemes of the basic experiential meaning associated with it. What had previously been expressed synthetically came to be realized analytically by means of lexical auxiliaries $j\bar{a}n\bar{a}$, to go" and $len\bar{a}$, to take".

In Modern Persian (Farsi) an exponent of the mediopassive categories became the auxiliary šodan "to become", whose original meaning was "to go" (the Old Iranian root šyav- "start moving", conjugated in the middle voice, is cognate with Sanskrit $cy\acute{a}v$ -ate "to move; vanish; fall, drop" and Greek σ eć ω "to move; chase"). In Farsi the auxiliary šodan functions very much like Hindi $j\bar{a}n\bar{a}$ in the usual array of expressions of experiential meaning: inchoative, inactive (expressing non-controllable psychological and physiological states), reflexive and movements. Representative examples of inchoatives, psych verbs, reflexives and verbs of motion (cf. Shaki 1963: passim) are provided in (23); the first part of the compound is an adjective or a participle:

(23) motavalled šodan	"to be born"	xūb šodan	"to heal
esābī šodan	"to become angry"	moztareb šodan	(of wound)" "to become agitated"
rām šodan nazdīk šodan	"to control oneself"	mosallex šodan dāxel šodan	"to arm oneself"

Mediopassive verbs may also be formed by compounding appropriate nouns with various auxiliaries: tavallod yāftan lit. birth+find "to be born", ta'ajjob kardan lit. amazement+do "to be amazed"; cf. other examples in Lazard (1957: 287–292).

3. Back to the synthetic middle voice in European Romani

Lexical auxiliaries may end up as suffixes during the process of their grammaticalization which reduces their phonological material. This process may be observed on the mediopassive auxiliary ov-el "become" (< OIA bhavati) which evolved into the suffix of mediopassive verbs in European Romani: ker-d-j-ol "he is born" (lit. he is made) ~ "pretends"; sikh-l-j-ol "he studies" (lit. he is educated). In contemporary Romani dialects the independent verb ov-el "become" appears only in two dialectal areas (those spoken in South Balkan and in subdialects of Romungro, Burgenland and North Slovenia, cf. Boretzky 1995:10). In the dialect of the Romas of Wales, as described by Sampson (1926: 215), we find an intermediate state of affairs where the auxiliary av-el "go, become" could appear not only after but also before participles as in (24):

(24)

t'ā kekār na'vela dik'šinō papalē

and ever not=become+3SG+FUT seen again

"and he will never be seen again"

Here the auxiliary is cliticized to the negative particle vs. Slovak Romani dičh-ol-a, will appear, where the auxiliary was reduced to a suffix through the process of grammaticalization: *dikh-l=jov-el-a > dičhl'ovela > dičhola.

The verb ov-el, become goes all the way back to OIA bhav-ati becomes, is. Romani is remarkable in preserving the consonant v of the root (lost in Hindi, Gujarati, Sindhi but preserved in Panjabi, Rajasthani and Marwari). On the other hand, the IA languages preserved a reflex of the root-initial bh, namely h, which has been completely lost (and replaced by j-) in Romani: (25)

OIA Prakrits Romani Panjabi Rajasthani bhav-āmi hav-āmi ov-av hōv-â hv-eû

Traces of the cliticization of the verb bhav-āmi to the PP for the purpose of forming the active preterite (paralleling the development of Nia Prakrits) are available in the area of East Hindi in both Medieval and Modern Awadhi dialects (cf. Saksena 1937/1971:248, 253, 260): mare(h)ũ "I struck" < mārē=haũ < mārē havāmi. In Lakhīmpurī dialect of Awadhi analytic constructions of the type marā haū possess the passive meaning "I have been struck". The trajectory of Romani mard'ovav "I am (being) struck" can be reconstructed along these lines: *māridō hovāmi > mardo hovam > mard(o)=hovav > mard=jovav > mard'=ovav (the remaining problem is the 'unlawful' change h > j in Romani). Deriving mediopassives by the cliticizing of the verb ov-el "become" is sans pareil in the context of Indic langauges. In a sense Romani — as the only New Indo-Aryan language — went a full circle and restored the OIA dichotomy of parasmaipada and ātmanepada verbs. On the Iranian side, Pashto also displays effects of the grammaticalization of the auxiliary $ke'd\partial l$, become": $y\bar{a}de'd\partial l$, to be remembered" $< y\bar{a}d=ke'd\partial l$; pohe'dəl ,,to know" < poh=ke'dəl. The same phonological process of the loss

the auxiliary ke'dəl "become": $y\bar{a}de'dəl$ "to be remembered" $< y\bar{a}d=ke'dəl$; pohe'dəl "to know" < poh=ke'dəl. The same phonological process of the loss of the initial consonant is also observed in the formation of phrasal verbs with ka' vel "to do": $y\bar{a}da'$ vəl 'remember' $< y\bar{a}d=ka'$ vəl (cf. Shafeev 1964:37). A typological parallel is available from Turkish where the verb et- "to do" and its inchoative counterpart ol- "to become" were cliticized to monosyllabic adjectives and nouns in verbal compounds such as kayd=etmek "to enrol" and kayd=ol(un)mak "to be enrolled"; kayb=etmek "lose" and kayb=olmak "disappear, be/get lost" (cf. Lewis 1967:156).

ABBREVIATIONS OF PRIMARY LITERATURE

Aog Aogəmadaēca	(K. M. JamaspAsa, Wien: OAW, 1982)
AWN Ardā Wirāz Nāmag	(F. Vahman, London: Curzon Press, 1986)
Bk Dhanavāla's Bhavisattakahā	(H. Jacobi, München: BAW, 1918)
Jc Puşpadsanta's Jasaharacariu	(P. L. Vaidya, Karanja, Berar, 1931)
KAP Kārnāmag ī Ardašīr ī Pāpakān	(E. K. Āntiā, Bombay, 1900)
KKZ Kirdēr Kasba-yi Zardušt inscription	(ML. Chaumont, JA CCXLVIII
	(1960), 339–80).

Pc

Sc

(H. C. Bhayani, Bombay, 1953-1960) (L. Alsdorf, Hamburg: Friedrichsen, 1936)

REFERENCES

Andersen, P. K., 1994: Empirical Studies in Diathesis. Münster.

Boretzky, N., 1955: Die Entwicklung der Kopula im Romani. Grazer Linguistische Studien 43, pp. 1-50.

Brunner, C. J., 1977: A Syntax of Western Middle Iranian. Demar (NY).

Bubenik, V., 1996: The Structure and Development of Middle Indo-Aryan Dialects. Delhi.

Bubenik, V., 1998: A Historical Syntax of Late Middle Indo-Aryan (Apabhramsa). Philadelphia / Amsterdam.

Chatterjee, R., 1988: Aspect and Meaning in Slavic and Indic. Philadelphia / Amsterdam.

Edgerton, F., 1953: Buddhist Hybrid Sanskrit Grammar and Dictionary. New Haven.

Erhart, A., 1989: Das indoeuropäische Verbalsystem. Brno.

Kellens, J., 1984: Le verbe avestique. Wiesbaden.

Lazard, G., 1957: Grammatik du persan contemporaine. Paris.

Lewis, G. L., 1967: Turkish Grammar. Oxford.

Mayrhofer, M., 1951: Handbuch des Pāli. Heidelberg.

Nespital, H., 1996: Verbal aspect in Indo-Aryan and Dravidian languages. The relation of Simple verbs to verbal expressions ("compound verbs"). Berliner Indologische Studien 9-10, pp. 247-258.

Nespital, H., 1997: Dictionary of Hindi Verbs. Allahabad.

Saksena, B., 1937/1971: Evolution of Awadhi (A Branch of Hindi). Allahabad / Delhi.

Sampson, J., 1926: The Dialect of the Gypsies of Wales. Oxford.

Shafeev, D.A., 1964: A Short Grammatical Outline of Pashto. Bloomington.

Shaki, M., 1963: Moderní perská frazeologie a konverzace. Praha.

Sundermann, W., 1989: "Mittelpersisch". In: R. Schmitt (ed.): Compendium Linguarum Iranicarum. Wiesbaden, pp. 138-164.

Vít Bubeník
Memorial University of Newfoundland
Elizabeth Ave.
St John's
Newfoundland
Area Code 709
CAN-737-8000