Blažek, Václav

#### Toward the etymology of Latin littera

Graeco-Latina Brunensia. 2018, vol. 23, iss. 2, pp. 5-11

ISSN 1803-7402 (print); ISSN 2336-4424 (online)

Stable URL (DOI): <u>https://doi.org/10.5817/GLB2018-2-1</u> Stable URL (handle): <u>https://hdl.handle.net/11222.digilib/138767</u> Access Date: 30. 11. 2024 Version: 20220831

Terms of use: Digital Library of the Faculty of Arts, Masaryk University provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use, unless otherwise specified.

MUNI Masarykova univerzita Filozofická fakulta Digital Library of the Faculty of Arts, Masaryk University digilib.phil.muni.cz

# Toward the Etymology of Latin littera

Václav Blažek (Masaryk University, Brno)

#### Abstract

In the present contribution the Latin word *littera* is anylazed from the point of view of etymology. First, the previous relevant etymological attempts are discussed. If none of them is convincing enough to exclude doubts, a new etymology is proposed. It is based on supposition that the script may also be named according to material, here namely "linen cloth", really used in the ancient Mediterranean world. A source of Latin *littera* could be Greek acc.sg. *\*līta* "linen cloth", mediated to Romans via Etruscans, adding the plural in *-er*-.

#### Keywords

Latin; Etruscan; Greek; Semitic; etymology; letter; script; linen cloth

The contribution originated under the auspice of the Fund for a specific research, Nr. 2817. Both anonymous referees formulated valuable comments, which were included into the definitive version of the text.

0. The Latin word *littera* and its derivatives belong to the most wide-spread cultural terms of Latin origin, adopted by almost all modern languages of Europe. In the present study its orthographic variants and existing etymologies are discussed. Finally a new etymological solution is proposed.

### 1. Variants and the internal reconstruction:

1.1. The Latin term *littera* is known first from the comedy *Tarentilla* [verse 79] by Naevius (c. 270–201 BCE), mediated by Isidore of Seville [*Orig.* 1.26.2]:

<sup>74</sup>Quasi pila

<sup>75</sup>in choro ludens datatim dat se et communem facit.

<sup>76</sup>Alii adnutat, alii adnictat, alium amat alium tenet.

<sup>77</sup>Alibi manus est occupata, alii pervellit pedem;

<sup>78</sup>anulum dat alii spectandum, a labris alium invocat,

<sup>79</sup>cum alio cantat, at tamen alii suo dat digito **litteras**.

"As though she were playing at ball, give-and-take in a ring, she makes herself common property to all men. To one she nods, at another she winks; one she caresses, another embraces. Now elsewhere a hand is kept busy; now she jerks another's foot. To one she gives her ring to look at, to another her lips blow a kiss that invites. She sings a song with one; but waves a **message** for another with her finger."<sup>1</sup>

"Tossing from hand to hand in a ring of players like a ball, she gives herself and makes herself common. She embraces one, nods to another, and her hand is occupied with yet another, she pinches the foot of another, gives to another a ring to look at, calls another by blowing a kiss, sings with another, and to still others gives **signals** with her finger."<sup>2</sup>

In a similar sense "script, scripture, writing, letter / Schrift, Schriftstück, Schreiben, Brief", although with a rather metaphorical value, and with the geminate, the word was also used by Plautus (c. 254–84 BCE):

Bacchides 3.2.5–6/389: ex Epheso huc ad Pistoclerum meum sodalem litteras misi "from Ephesus I sent letters hither to my friend Pistoclerus"<sup>3</sup>

More frequently Plautus used the word in the sense "letter / Buchstabe":

Aulularia 1.1.37–39/76ff. neque quicquam meliust mihi, ut opinor, quam ex me ut unam faciam **litteram** longam, meum laqueo collum equando obstrinxero

"... and there isn't anything better for me, as I fancy, than to make one long capital **letter** of myself, when I've tied up my neck in a halter."<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Transl. by Eric Herbert Warmington (1936: pp. 99–01).

<sup>2</sup> Transl. by Stephen A. Barney et al. (2010: p. 52).

<sup>3</sup> Transl. by Henry Thomas Riley (1875: p. 171).

<sup>4</sup> Transl. by H. T. Riley (1875: p. 378).

Asinaria 4.1.22/767: <sup>16</sup>aut quod illa dicat peregre allatam epistulam, <sup>17</sup>ne epistula quidem ulla sit in aedibus <sup>18</sup>nec cerata adeo tabula; et si qua inutilis <sup>19</sup>pictura sit, eam vendat: ni in quadriduo <sup>20</sup>abalienarit, quo abs te argentum acceperit, <sup>21</sup>tuos arbitratus sit, comburas, si velis, <sup>22</sup>ne illi sit cera, ubi facere possit **litteras**.

"Or, because she may affirm that the letter has been brought from abroad, there is not to be even any letter in the house, nor so much as a waxed tablet; and if there is any useless picture, let her sell it; if she does not part with it, within four days from the time when she has received the money of you, let it be considered as your own; you to burn it if you like; so that she may have no wax, with which she may be able to make a **letter**."<sup>5</sup>

1.2. One of the first editors of Plautus, Domitius Palladius (c. 1460–1533), printed some forms with the simple -t-: e.g. Bacch. 730 literas, Merc. 303 literarum (Persson 1917: p. 131).

The parallel situation is known from the epigraphic evidence, where both, *-tt-* and *-t-* occur:

Geminated -tt-: littera [CIL I<sup>2</sup> 588.10; 78 BCE], [CIL I<sup>2</sup> 203.10].

Simple -t-: leiteras [CIL I<sup>2</sup> 198.34; 123/122 BCE]; litera [CIL I<sup>2</sup> 207].

Weiss (2010) analyzes the conditions allowing the vacillation  $\bar{V}T \sim VTT$ . He concludes, the rule  $\bar{V}T \rightarrow VTT$  is valid, if V is a high vowel and T a voiceless stop. This means that the primary starting-point of the analyzed forms should be *\*litera*.

1.3. In Latin the process of monophthongisation  $*e_{i} > *\bar{e} > *\bar{i}$  was completed around 150 BCE, although the spelling  $e_{i}$  for  $\bar{i}$  (and even  $\check{i}$ ) was still applied in the first centuries CE (Leumann & Hofmann 1928: pp. 76–78, §57), e.g. ueiuam [CIL I<sup>2</sup>, 1837] versus correct uiuam for  $*u\bar{u}a\bar{m} \rightarrow *g^{u}iH_{j}u_{o}$ ; see Meiser (1998: p. 49, §39.4; p. 58, §47.2) and even seine [CIL I<sup>2</sup> 583.54] for *sine* (Persson 1917: p. 131; Leumann & Hofmann 1928: p. 78). In the inscription documented in CIL I<sup>2</sup>, 1430, *ivnone seispitei matri*, there are three various realisations of the diphthong  $*e_{i}$  (cf. Leumann & Hofmann 1928: p. 270, §189a; Kent 1946: p. 40, §251; Tronskij 2001: p. 169, §358).

# 2. Existing etymologies

There are several etymological attempts, beginning already from the ancient grammarians:

2.1. Diomedes, Ars grammatica (end of the 4<sup>th</sup> cent. CE): Littera dicta quasi legitera, quia legitur, vel quod legentibus iter ostendit, vel a litura quam patitur, vel quod legendo iteratur.

2.2. Ross (1853: p. 293): Adaptation of Greek διφθέρα "skin", pl. "writing-material" (before import of papyrus) [Herodot 5.58] with the 'Sabine' change d > l (dingua [Marius Victorinus] : lingua [Lex XII], dacrima : lacrima [Andronicus], usually lacruma), or Latin Ulixes as the adaptation of Greek Όδυσσεύς.

<sup>5</sup> Transl. H. T. Riley (1875: p. 515).

2.3. Vaniček (1874: p. 137): Derivative of the Latin verb  $l\check{n}\sigma$ ,  $l\bar{e}v\bar{v}$ , *litum* "to daub, besmear, anoint, spread, rub over (also about script)". The following development was reconstructed e.g. by Persson (1917: pp. 131–132) and Muller (1926: p. 235). They proposed as the starting-point the collective *\*leitesā*, formed from the neuter *s*-stem *\*leitos*. Persson (1917: p. 132), followed by Walde & Hofmann (1938: p. 815), added the semantic parallel in Hesychius' gloss ἀλειπτήριον · γραφεῖον, Κύπριοι, while the usual meaning of the word ἀλειπτήριον is "a place for anointing in gymnastic schools, or among the Romans at the baths, used also as a sudatory". Painting letters is really very close to daubing or smearing. But the assumed morphological scenario was rejected by de Vaan (2008: p. 346).

Walde & Hofmann (1938: p. 815) quoted still two, less convincing, etymological attempts: 2.4. Wood (1926: p. 97) reconstructed *littera* < *\*slituerā \*\**engraving" on the basis of the Gothic verb *ga-sleiþjan* "to damage, harm".

2.5. Güntert (1928: p. 135) thought about a connection with Latin *lituus* "curved staff of augurs" [Ennius].

2.6. Most recently Weiss (2010: p. 1) derives Old Latin *leitera* from the root *\*leit-* "to scratch". From the point of semantics such a development is quite natural, cf. Latin *scrībere* "to write" [Naevius]: Latvian *skrīpât* "to scratch, scribble, write down", Middle Irish *scrīp(a)id* "scratches" (cf. de Vaan 2008: pp. 546–547) or Old High German *riz* "scratching, line, letter" (Kroonen 2013: p. 597). But it is not clear, which concrete material led M. Weiss to this reconstruction.

3.1. There were various techniques of writing. Besides engraving or carving, usually on stone or wood, the letters were also painted, e.g. on cloth, papyrus, skin, bark or bast. And these materials could inspire new designations of writing or letters. A fitting example occurs in Germanic: Gothic *boka* 'γράμμα', i.e. "letter", pl. *bokos* 'βίβλος, βιβλιόν, γράμματα', i.e. "written document, book", Old Saxon  $b\bar{o}k$  "writing-tablet", Old High German *buoh* "book, script, scripture, letter" etc., all from Germanic  $*b\bar{o}k(j)\bar{o}$ - "beech" (Lehmann 1986: p. 77; Kroonen 2013: p. 71). Perhaps Balkan Gothic is a source of South Slavic \**buky* "letter", pl. "book, written document", mediated via Church Slavonic into East Slavic (see the discussion by Pronk-Tiethoff 2013: pp. 80–82).

The Romans designated their books as *liber* [from Plautus], whose primary meaning was "bark of a tree", confirmed by cognates in other Indo-European branches: Albanian *labe*<sup>--</sup> "rind, cork", Prussian *lubbo* "bast, plank, shelf", Lithuanian *lúobas* "bark, rind of a tree", Russian *lub* "bast" etc. (de Vaan 2008: p. 337). In this perspective it is tempting to mention the Roman tradition described by Titus Livius on *Liber linteus* "Linen book", which should be stored in the temple of Juno Moneta:

[4.7.12] nomina consulum horum Licinius Macer auctor est et in foedere Ardeatino et in linteis libris ad Monetae inventa.

"Licinius Macer states, that they were found both in the Ardean treaty and in the **linen books** at the temple of Moneta."<sup>6</sup>

<sup>6</sup> Transl. D. Spillan (1853: p. 259).

[4.20.8] quis ea in re sit error, quod tam veteres annales quodque magistratuum **libri**, quos **linteos** in aede repositos Monetae Macer Licinius citat identidem auctores, septimo post demum anno cum T. Quinctio Poeno A. Cornelium Cossum consulem habeant, existimatio communis omnibus est.

"Whether the mistake is chargeable on the very ancient annals and the **linen books** of the magistrates, deposited in the temple of Moneta, and which Licinius Macer occasionally cites as authorities, which have Aulus Cornelius Cossus consul with Titus Quintius Pennus, in the ninth year after this, every person may form his own opinion."<sup>7</sup>

The tradition of writing long texts on linen cloth was borrowed by the Romans from the Etruscans, probably together with the script. After all, the longest known Etruscan text was done on linen. It is called as *Liber linteus {Zagrabiensis}* and was discovered in Croatian Zagreb (details see e.g. van der Meer 2008; Urbanová & Blažek 2008: p. 59). If the script had been brought into ancient Italy by Greeks, it would not be surprising, if the Greeks had also mediated linen-cloth as the writing-material.

3.2. There is a promising terminological candidate in Greek  $\lambda \bar{\iota} \tau \alpha^8$  acc.sg./pl.,  $\lambda \bar{\iota} \tau i$  dat.sg., "linen cloth" [*Il.* 18.352, 23.254; *Od.* 1.130, 10.353]. The term is identified already in the Mycenaean texts from Knossus as *ri-ta* adj. nom.pl.ntr. "linen" [KN L 567.2] (Aura Jorro 1993: p. 257). If the root  $\lambda \bar{\iota} \tau^o$  was adopted into Etruscan, it is expected that it would have been accommodated to the Etruscan substantive declension. In the nom.pl. it should look like *\*liter* and in the gen.pl. like *\*literas*, cf. Etruscan nom.sg. *ais* "god", nom.pl. *aiser*, gen.pl. *aiseras* (Steinbauer 1999: pp. 90, 395; about the possibility to identify here the Etruscan plural in *-ar-*, see Deroy 1975: p. 53; but his assumption to reconstruct Etruscan *\*litara* "sign" is not convincing, cf. Lejeune 1993: p. 11).<sup>9</sup>

3.3. A contamination of Latin  $l\bar{l}num$  "flax plant, linseed; linen" [Naevius] and Greek  $\lambda \bar{l} \tau^{0}$  "linen cloth" could explain the rather enigmatic Latin *linteum* "piece of linen cloth, towel, sail" [Plautus], with shortening of  $\bar{i}$  before *-nt-* in agreement with Lex Osthoff.

3.4. Finally, let us mention that Greek  $\lambda \bar{\iota} \tau^{\circ}$  & Mycenaean *ri-ta* are probably of Semitic origin, cf. Akkadian  $l\bar{\iota}tu / l\bar{e}tu / littu$ , pl.  $litte\bar{\iota}tu$  "a garment or cloth as bed covering" (*CDA* 183; see Astour 1965: p. 338; probably independently identified by Szemerényi 1977: p. 3; Rosół 2013: p. 188, with a detailed discussion and sources). Interesting is the New Assyrian homonym  $l\bar{\iota}tu / littu$  "sketch, drawing" (*CDA* 183), which can develop from the material for drawing.

ČLÁNKY / ARTICLES

<sup>7</sup> Transl. D. Spillan (1853: p. 273).

<sup>8</sup> Cf. an unambiguous analysis of this term by Vijūnas (2006: pp. 108–114) from the Indo-European perspective.

<sup>9</sup> Cf. Ernout & Meillet (1932: pp. 528–529): "... il n'est pas invraisemblable que *littera* lui-même soit, directement ou indirectement, d'origine grecque... Un emprunt pour voie étrusque est possible."

## Bibliography

- Astour, M. C. (1965). Hellenosemitica. An Ethnic and Cultural Study in West Semitic Impact on Mycenaean Greece. Leiden: Brill.
- Aura Jorro, F. (1993). Diccionario micénico (Vol. II). Madrid: Consejo superior de investigaciones científicas.
- Barney, S. A., Lewis, W. J., Beach, J. A., & Berghof, O. (Eds.). (2010). *Isidore of Seville: Etymologies* (with the collaboration of Muriel Hall). Cambridge: University Press.
- CDA = Black, J., George, A., & Postage, N. (Eds.). (2000). A Concise Dictionary of Akkadian. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- Deroy, L. (1975). 'Lettre' et 'litre', deux mots d'origine étrusque. Les Études Classiques, 43, 45-58.
- Ernout, A., & Meillet, A. (1932). Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue latine. Histoire des mots. Paris: Klincksieck.
- Güntert, H. (1928). Weiteres zum Begriff Winkel im ursprünglichen Denken. Wörter und Sachen, 11, 124–142.
- Kent, R. G. (1946). The Forms of Latin, a Descriptive and Historical Morphology. Baltimore: Linguistic Society of America.
- Kroonen, G. (2013). Etymological Dictionary of Proto-Germanic. Leiden Boston: Brill.
- Lehmann, W. P. (1986). A Gothic Etymological Dictionary. Leiden: Brill.
- Lejeune, M. (1993). Le nom de mesure  $\lambda$ íτρα: essai lexical. Revue des études grecques, 106(1), 1-11.
- Leumann, M., & Hofmann, J. B. (1928). Lateinische Grammatik. Laut- und Formenlehre. Syntax und Stylistik. München: Beck.
- van der Meer, L. B. (2008). Liber linteus Zagrabiensis. The Linen Book of Zagreb. A Comment on the Longest Etruscan Text (Monographs on Antiquity, 4). Louvain Dudley (M.A.): Peeters.
- Meiser, G. (1998). *Historische Laut- und Formenlehre der lateinischen Sprache*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- Muller, F. (1926). Altitalisches Wörterbuch. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Persson, H., & Persson, P. (1917). Zur lateinischen und griechischen Wortforschung. Zeitschrift f
  ür vergleichende Sprachforschung auf dem Gebiete der indogermanischen Sprachen, 48(1/2), 121–136.
- Pronk-Tiethoff, S. (2013). The Germanic Loanwords in Proto-Slavic. Amsterdam New York: Rodopi.
- Riley, H. T. (Transl.). (1875). Plautus: The Comedies of Plautus (Vol. I). London: G. Bell and Sons.
- Rosół, R. (2013). Frühe semitische Lehnwörter im Griechischen. Frankfurt am Main: Lang.
- Roß, L. (1853). Zur Etymologie. Rheinisches Museum für Philologie, 8, 292-297.
- Steinbauer, D. H. (1999). Neues Handbuch des Etruskischen. St. Katharinen: Scripta Mercaturae Verlag.
- Spillan, D. (Transl.). (1853). The History of Rome, by Titus Livius. Book IV. London: s.n.
- Szemerényi, O. (Rew.). (1977). Pierre Chantraine: Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque. Histoire des mots (Vol. III; Paris: Klincksieck 1974). Gnomon, 49, 1–10.
- Tronskij, I. M. (2001). Istoričeskaja grammatika latinskogo. Obščeindoevropejskoe jazykovoe sostojanie (voprosy rekonstrukcii). Moskva: Indrik.
- Urbanová, D., & Blažek, V. (2008). Národy starověké Itálie, jejich jazyky a písma. Brno: Host.
- de Vaan, M. (2008). Etymological Dictionary of Latin and the other Italic Languages. Leiden Boston: Brill.

Vaniček, A. (1874). Etymologisches Wörterbuch der lateinischen Sprache. Leipzig: Teubner.

- Vijünas, A. (2006). The Indo-European t-stems. Los Angeles: University of California (PhD. Diss.).
- Walde, A., & Hofmann, J. B. (1938). Lateinisches etymologisches Wörterbuch (Vol. I). Heidelberg: Winter.
- Warmington, E. H. (1936). Remains of Old Latin, II: Livius Andronicus, Naevius, Pacuvius & Accius (Loeb Classical Library – Latin Authors, 314). London: Heinemann – Cambridge (Mass.): Harvard University Press.
- Weiss, M. (2010). Observations on the *Littera* Rule. *ECIEC* XXIX, Department of Linguistics, Cornell University (June 19, 2010) [retrieved 09.11.2018 from https://www.academia.edu/1709398/ Observations\_on\_the\_Littera\_Rule].
- Wood, F. A. (1926). Post-consonantal w in Indo-European (Language Monographs, 3). Philadelphia: Linguistic Society of America.

Prof. RNDr. Václav Blažek, CSc. / blazek@phil.muni.cz

Department of Linguistics and Baltic Studies Masaryk University, Faculty of Arts Arna Nováka 1, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic