

Table of Contents

1 Language, Space and Thought	9
1.1 Problem statement	9
1.2 The study of <i>up</i> from a cross-linguistic perspective	11
1.3 The conceptual significance of <i>up</i> and <i>shàng</i>	13
1.4 A corpus-based approach	14
2 Literature Review	17
2.1 Polysemy as contextualized patterns of meaning	17
2.2 Context	19
2.2.1 Co-text	19
2.2.2 Encyclopedic knowledge and experiential domain	21
2.3 Previous studies on <i>up</i>	23
2.3.1 A Cognitive Grammar approach to <i>up</i>	23
2.3.2 A Contemporary Theory of Metaphor approach to <i>up</i>	24
2.3.3 A Principled Polysemy approach to <i>up</i>	24
2.4 Previous studies on <i>shàng</i>	24
2.4.1 A Conceptual Structure approach to <i>shàng</i>	25
2.4.2 A Contemporary Theory of Metaphor approach to <i>shàng</i>	25
2.4.3 A Principled Polysemy approach to <i>shàng</i>	25
2.4.4 A Corpus linguistic approach to <i>shàng</i> as a locative particle	26
2.5 PP: A semantics-based model of polysemy	26
2.5.1 Tyler and Evans' (2003) version of PP	26
2.5.2 Evans' (2004) revision of PP	27
2.6 Semantic valence in CG.....	28
3 Data and Methodology	31
3.1 Analytical framework	31
3.2 Data collection	33
3.3 Procedures of sense decision and description	34
3.4 Delimitations of the present study.....	34
4 The Core Senses of <i>Up</i>	35
4.1 Core senses and the Meaning Criterion	35
4.1.1 'Vertically higher'	35
4.1.2 'Approaching'	36
4.1.3 'Completive'	37
4.2 Decision of the sanctioning sense	37

4.3	The core senses of <i>up</i> and their associated constructional schemas	39
4.3.1	'Vertically higher' and its associated constructional schemas	39
4.3.1.1	Constructional schemas of 'vertically higher' that profile exclusively PATH.....	40
4.3.1.2	Constructional schemas of 'vertically higher' that profile PATH and either GOAL or SOURCE	41
4.3.2	'Approaching' and its associated constructional schemas	44
4.3.2.1	Constructional schemas of 'approaching' that profile PATH and a concrete goal	44
4.3.2.2	Constructional schemas of 'approaching' that profile PATH and an implicit GOAL	46
4.3.2.3	Constructional schemas of 'approaching' that profiles only an implicit goal	48
4.3.2.4	Interim summary for 'approaching'	50
4.3.3	'Completive' in [V] – [UP] and its sources of concept elaboration	50
4.3.3.1	The verb as the source of concept elaboration for 'completive' ...	51
4.3.3.2	A noun phrase as the source of concept elaboration for 'completive'	54
4.3.3.3	A prepositional phrase as the source of concept elaboration for 'completive'	55
4.3.3.4	Underspecified but inferable endpoints	56
4.3.3.5	Interim summary for 'completive'	58
4.3.4	Summary of the chapter.....	60
5	The Metaphorical Senses of <i>Up</i>	63
5.1	'Accessible'	63
5.1.1	'Accessible' and the Meaning Criterion	64
5.1.2	'Accessible' and its associated constructional schemas	65
5.1.2.1	NP as the source of concept elaboration for 'accessible'	65
5.1.2.2	The verb as the source of concept elaboration for 'accessible'	68
5.1.3	Between 'accessible' and 'completive'	69
5.2	'More'	71
5.2.1	'More' and the Meaning Criterion.....	71
5.2.2	'More' and its associated constructional schemas	72
5.2.2.1	'More' in a PATH-prominent constructional schema	72
5.2.2.2	'More' in a PATH- and SOURCE-prominent constructional schema ..	73
5.2.2.3	'More' in PATH- and GOAL-prominent constructional schemas.....	74
5.2.3	Beyond the domain of QUANTITY into the event stricture level	78
5.3	'Happy'.....	81
5.3.1	'Happy' and the Meaning Criterion	81
5.3.2	'Happy' and its associated constructional schema	81

5.4 ‘Good’	82
5.4.1 ‘Good’ and the Meaning Criterion	83
5.4.2 ‘Good’ and its associated constructional schemas	83
5.4.2.1 An NP as the source of concept elaboration for ‘good’	84
5.4.2.2 The verb as the source of concept elaboration for ‘good’	85
5.4.3 Between ‘good’ and ‘completive’	86
5.5 Summary of the chapter	87
6 The Core Senses of <i>shàng</i>	89
6.1 Core senses of <i>shàng</i> and the Meaning Criterion.....	90
6.1.1 ‘Vertically attained’	90
6.1.2 ‘Vertically higher’	92
6.1.3 ‘Forward’	93
6.1.4 ‘Attached’	95
6.1.5 ‘Completive’	97
6.1.6 ‘Inceptive’	100
6.2 Decision of the sanctioning sense	103
6.3 The core senses of <i>shàng</i> and their associated constructional schemas	105
6.3.1 ‘Vertically attained’ and its associated constructional schemas	105
6.3.2 ‘Vertically higher’ and its associated constructional schema	107
6.3.3 ‘Forward’ and its associated constructional schemas	108
6.3.4 ‘Attached’ and its associated constructional schemas	112
6.3.5 ‘Completive’ and its associated constructional schemas	117
6.3.6 ‘Inceptive’ and its associated constructional schemas	122
6.4 Summary of the chapter	125
7 Subjectification, Attenuation and Conceptual Archetypes	129
7.1 Subjectivity and subjectification	130
7.2 Subjectification and attenuation in the semantic extension of <i>up</i> and <i>shàng</i>	135
7.2.1 Change in status in the semantic extension of <i>up</i> and <i>shàng</i>	135
7.2.2 Change in focus in the semantic extension of <i>up</i> and <i>shàng</i>	139
7.2.3 Change in domain in the semantic extension of <i>up</i> and <i>shàng</i>	142
7.2.4 Change in the locus of activity or potency in the semantic extension of <i>up</i> and <i>shàng</i>	142
7.2.5 Interim summary for the semantic extension of <i>up</i> and <i>shàng</i>	145
7.3 Domains, co-text and semantic attenuation.....	146
7.4 Conceptual archetypes in the embodied meanings of <i>up</i> and <i>shàng</i>	147
7.4.1 Archetypal conception and the core meanings of <i>up</i>	147
7.4.2 Archetypal conception and the core meanings of <i>shàng</i>	148
7.4.3 Schematized archetypal meaning in the metaphorical meanings of <i>up</i> ...	149

8 The Making of Lexical Meaning	151
8.1 Lexical semantics in cross-linguistic comparison	152
8.2 Residence of meaning in basic human cognitive abilities	153
8.3 Limitations and further studies.....	155
 Summary.....	 157
內容簡述	163
Bibliography	167
List of Tables	175
List of Figures.....	175
List of Abbreviations.....	176