

CONTENTS

Foreword (Alasdair Whittle)	5
Preface	14
Acknowledgements	16
1. Introduction	17
1.1. A short introduction to the subject	17
1.2. Aims	18
1.3. Chronological and spatial framework of the study	20
1.4. Sources analysed and used for comparison	21
2. An outline of the history of research into Neolithic chipped stone industry in central Europe, with an emphasis on the origin of LBK chipped industry and its links to the local Mesolithic	25
3. The beginnings of the Neolithic in central Europe	31
3.1. Basic models of the Neolithisation of south-eastern and central Europe	31
3.2. The origin of the LBK	34
4. The Early Neolithic settlement of Moravia and Lower Austria against the central European background	37
4.1. The geographic spread of the LBK and its relationship to neighbouring cultures	37
4.2. The geographic distribution of the LBK in Moravia and Lower Austria	39
4.3. Relative chronology of the LBK in Moravia and Lower Austria (after R. Tichý) and a general overview of dating in neighbouring regions	41
4.4. Absolute chronology of the LBK on the basis of C ¹⁴ dating	41
4.5. The problem of Late Mesolithic settlement in Moravia, Lower Austria and neighbouring regions	41
5. Stone raw material used by the early farming communities of Moravia and Lower Austria and its provenance	44
5.1. Silicites	44
5.1.1. Krumlovský Les chert	44
5.1.2. Moravian Jurassic cherts	45
5.1.2.1. Stránská skála chert	46
5.1.2.2. Olomučany chert	46
5.1.3. Erratic silicites	47
5.1.4. Krakow Jurassic silicite	47

5.1.5. Chocolate silicite	48
5.1.6. Spotted Świeciechów silicite	48
5.1.7. Radiolarites	48
5.1.7.1. Slovak and Polish radiolarites	49
5.1.7.2. South Moravian radiolarites	49
5.1.7.3. Mauer radiolarite	49
5.1.7.4. Szentgál, Hárskút and Úrkút-Eplény radiolarites	49
5.1.8. Spongolite	50
5.1.9. Limnosilicite	50
5.1.10. Abensberg-Arnhofen striped tabular chert	51
5.1.11. Banat silicite	51
5.2. SiO ₂ minerals	51
5.2.1. Siliceous weathering products of serpentinites	51
5.2.2. Rock crystal	52
5.2.3. Quartz	52
5.3. Natural glasses	52
5.3.1. Carpathian obsidian	52
5. 4. Clastic silicic rocks	53
5. 4.1. North-west Bohemian quartzites	53
5.4.1.1. Skršín quartzite	53
5.4.1.2. Bečov quartzite	53
5.4.1.3. Tušimice quartzite	53
6. The chipped stone industries of the Early Neolithic communities of Moravia and Lower Austria (see Appendix) and their comparison with the lithic industries of selected regions	57
6.1. Raw material	57
6.1.1. The early phase of the LBK and its comparison to the Mesolithic	57
6.1.2. The end of phase I and the middle phase of the LBK	62
6.1.3. The late phase of the LBK and the Middle Neolithic	64
6.2. Production of blanks	65
6.2.1. The technique of regular blade production	65
6.2.1.1. The use of experimental archaeology	68
6.2.2. Blank production in the early phase of the LBK in comparison with that in the Mesolithic and in neighbouring regions	71
6.2.3. Blank production at the end of phase I and the in the middle phase of the LBK	79
6.2.4. Blank production in the late phase of the LBK	83
6.3. Tools	84
6.3.1. Endscrapers and truncated blades	84
6.3.1.1. Blades with ventral truncation	85
6.3.2. Transversal and other burins	87
6.3.3. Laterally retouched blades	88
6.3.4. Borers, perforators and becs	88
6.3.5. Notches and denticulates	90
6.3.6. Trapezes and trapezoidal shapes	91
6.3.7. Segments	95
6.3.8. Splintered pieces (cores and tools)	96
6.3.9. Hammerstones	97
6.3.10. General tool characteristics	97
6.4. Artefacts with gloss	98
6.5. The interpretation of the studied sites based on analyses of the chipped stone industry	99

6.5.1. Producer and consumer settlements and raw material exploitation sites	99
6.5.1.1. Comparisons with the Starčevo and Körös cultures	103
6.5.2. The localisation of raw material processing: in what form did raw materials reach settlements?	103
6.5.3. The procurement of stone raw material: a summary of the problems	106
7. The mechanisms of stone raw material distribution in Moravia, Lower Austria and neighbouring regions	111
7.1. The main factors influencing the form of the distribution network in the Mesolithic and Neolithic	111
7.1.1. Environmental factors	111
7.1.2. Subsistence factors	112
7.1.3. Socio-political factors	113
7.1.4. Mythological (symbolical) factors	115
7.2. The distribution of some stone raw materials in the Mesolithic and Neolithic	117
7.2.1. Szentgál, Hárskút and Úrkút-Eplény radiolarites (Transdanubian radiolarites)	118
7.2.2. Mauer radiolarite	110
7.2.3. Krumlovský Les chert	121
7.2.4. Olomučany chert	124
7.2.5. Krakow Jurassic silicite	125
7.2.6. Erratic silicites	128
7.2.7. Siliceous weathering products of serpentinites	130
7.2.8. Spotted Świeciechow silicite	131
7.2.9. Chocolate silicite	132
7.2.10. Carpathian obsidian and Banat silicite	134
7.2.11. Bavarian Abensberg-Arnshofen striped tabular chert	138
7.2.12. Skršín, Tušimice and Bečov quartzites (North-west Bohemian quartzites)	139
7.3. Spongolite – a raw material used in the Mesolithic, but not in the LBK	141
7.4. Characteristics of the raw material distribution in the Mesolithic and Neolithic – a palaeohistorical framework	141
7.4.1. The Mesolithic	141
7.4.2. The Early Neolithic	142
7.4.3. The end of LBK phase I and the middle phase of the LBK	143
7.4.4. The Middle Neolithic and the Late Neolithic	144
8. Talking stones: the chipped stone industry in Lower Austria and Moravia and the beginnings of the Neolithic in Central Europe (LBK), 5700-4900 BC	156
9. Conclusion	165
Appendix	169
10. Theoretical basis and methodology	171
10.1. Chipped stone industry as an archaeological resource	171
10.2. Morphological analysis	171
10.2.1. Fundamentals of the classification of chipped stone artefacts	172
10.2.1.1. Selection criteria for chipped stone artefacts	172
10.2.2. Classification system for analysing LBK chipped stone industry in Moravia and Lower Austria	172
10.2.3. Basic morphological categories – glossary of basic terms	176
10.2.3.1. Pre-cores and cores	176
10.2.3.2. Flakes and waste	177
10.2.3.3. Blades and blade fragments	177
10.2.3.4. Tools	177

10.2.3.4.1. The splintered pieces – tools or cores?	178
10.2.3.5. Other basic definitions	179
10.3. Raw material analysis	179
10.3.1. The macroscopic method	179
10.3.2. The microscopic method	180
10.4. Statistical analysis of the material	180
11. The results of the raw material, technological and typological analyses of the chipped stone industry in Moravia and Lower Austria	181
11.1. List of evaluated sites	181
11.2. Asparn an der Zaya – Schletz (Mistelbach district, Weinviertel, Lower Austria)	182
11.2.1. Background information	182
11.2.2. Dating the site	182
11.2.3. Chipped stone industry	182
11.2.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	183
11.2.3.2. Raw material	183
11.2.3.3. Pre-cores and cores	184
11.2.3.4. Flakes and waste	184
11.2.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	185
11.2.3.6. Raw material transport	186
11.2.3.7. Tools	187
11.2.3.8. Artefacts with sickle gloss	187
10.2.3.9. Summary	187
11.3. Brunn am Gebirge, position “Wolfholz“ (Mödling district, Wienerwald, Lower Austria)	190
11.3.1. Background information	190
11.3.2. Dating the site	190
11.3.3. Chipped stone industry	191
11.3.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	191
11.3.3.2. Chipped stone industry at the settlements of Brunn IIa, Brunn IIb and Brunn IV	191
11.3.3.2.1. Raw material	192
11.3.3.2.2. Pre-cores and cores	193
11.3.3.2.3. Flakes and waste	193
11.3.3.2.4. Blades and blade fragments	193
11.3.3.2.5. Tools	194
11.3.3.2.6. Artefacts with sickle gloss	194
11.3.3.3. Chipped stone industry from the settlement of Brunn I	195
11.3.3.3.1. Raw material	195
11.3.3.3.2. Brief morphological analysis	195
11.3.3.4. Chipped stone industry from settlement burials at Brunn II	197
11.3.3.5. Summary	197
11.4. Kleinhadersdorf, position “Marchleiten“ (Mistelbach district, Weinviertel, Lower Austria)	199
11.4.1. Background information	199
11.4.2. Dating the site	199
11.4.3. Chipped stone industry	199
11.4.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	199
11.4.3.2. Raw material	199
11.4.3.3. Pebbles, pre-cores and cores	200
11.4.3.4. Flakes and waste	200
11.4.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	200
11.4.3.6. Tools	201

11.4.3.7. Appearance and function of trapezoidal forms	201
11.4.3.8. Artefacts with gloss	201
11.4.3.9. Summary	201
11.5. Mold I (Horn district, Waldviertel, Lower Austria)	202
11.5.1. Background information	202
11.5.2. Dating the site	202
11.5.3. Chipped stone industry	203
11.5.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	203
11.5.3.2. Raw material	203
11.5.3.3. Pre-cores and cores	203
11.5.3.4. Flakes and waste	203
11.5.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	204
11.5.3.6. Raw material transport	204
11.5.3.7. Tools	204
11.5.3.8. Artefacts with sickle gloss	205
11.5.3.9. Summary	205
11.6. Rosenburg I (Horn district, Waldviertel, Lower Austria)	206
11.6.1. Background information	206
11.6.2. Dating the site	207
11.6.3. Chipped stone industry	208
11.6.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	208
11.6.3.2. Raw material	208
11.6.3.3. Pre-cores and cores	209
11.6.3.4. Flakes and waste	209
11.6.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	210
11.6.3.6. Raw material transport	210
11.6.3.7. Tools	210
11.6.3.8. Artefacts with sickle gloss	210
11.6.3.9. Summary	210
11.7. Brno-Ivanovice, position “Globus“ (Brno-city district, south Moravia, Czech Republic)	211
11.7.1. Background information	211
11.7.2. Dating the site	212
11.7.3. Chipped stone industry	212
11.7.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	212
11.7.3.2. Raw material	212
11.7.3.3. Pre-cores and cores	213
11.7.3.4. Flakes and waste	213
11.7.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	214
11.7.3.6. Raw material transport	214
11.7.3.7. Tools	214
11.7.3.8. Artefacts with sickle gloss	214
11.7.3.9. Summary	214
11.8. Brno-Nový Lískovec, position “Pod Kamenným vrchem“ (Brno-city district, south Moravia, Czech Republic)	214
11.8.1. Background information	214
11.8.2. Dating the site	215
11.8.3. Chipped stone industry	215
11.8.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	215
11.8.3.2. Raw material	216
11.8.3.3. Pre-cores and cores	217

11.8.3.4. Flakes and waste	217
11.8.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	217
11.8.3.6. Tools	218
11.8.3.7. Artefacts with sickle gloss	218
11.8.3.8. Summary	218
11.9. Kladníky, position “Záhumenky” (Přerov district, north Moravia, Czech Republic)	219
11.9.1. Background information	219
11.9.2. Dating the site	219
11.9.3. Chipped stone industry	219
11.9.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	219
11.9.3.2. Raw material	220
11.9.3.3. Pre-cores and cores	220
11.9.3.4. Flakes and waste	221
11.9.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	221
11.9.3.6. Raw material transport	221
11.9.3.7. Tools	222
11.9.3.8. Artefacts with sickle gloss	222
11.9.3.9. Summary	222
11.10. Kuřim (Brno-country district, south Moravia, Czech Republic)	224
11.10.1. Background information	224
11.10.2. Dating the site	225
11.10.3. Chipped stone industry	226
11.10.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	226
11.10.3.2. Raw material	226
11.10.3.3. Pre-cores and cores	227
11.10.3.4. Flakes and waste	227
11.10.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	227
11.10.3.6. Raw material transport	228
11.10.3.7. Tools	228
11.10.3.8. Artefacts with sickle gloss	228
11.10.3.9. Summary	228
11.11. Nové Bránice, position “V končinách” (Brno-country district, south Moravia, Czech Republic)	229
11.11.1. Background information	229
11.11.2. Dating the site	229
11.11.3. Chipped stone industry	230
11.11.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	231
11.11.3.2. Raw material	231
11.11.3.3. Pre-cores and cores	231
11.11.3.4. Flakes and waste	232
11.11.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	232
11.11.3.6. Raw material transport	232
11.11.3.7. Tools	233
11.11.3.8. Artefacts with sickle gloss	233
11.11.3.9. Summary	233
11.12. Přáslavice-Kocourovce, position “Na širokém” (Olomouc district, north Moravia, Czech Republic)	233
11.12.1. Background information	233
11.12.2. Dating the site	234
11.12.3. Chipped stone industry	234
11.12.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	234
11.12.3.2. Raw material	235

11.12.3.3. Pre-cores and cores	236
11.12.3.4. Flakes and waste	236
11.12.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	236
11.12.3.6. Raw material transport	237
11.12.3.7. Tools	237
11.12.3.8. Artefacts with sickle gloss	237
11.12.3.9. Summary	238
11.13. Těšetice-Kyjovice, position “Sutny“ (Znojmo district, south Moravia, Czech Republic)	240
11.13.1. Background information	240
11.13.2. Dating the site	240
11.13.3. Chipped stone industry	241
11.13.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	242
11.13.3.2. Raw material	242
11.13.3.3. Pre-cores and cores	243
11.13.3.4. Flakes and waste	243
11.13.3.5. Blades and blade fragments	243
11.13.3.6. Raw material transport	243
11.13.3.7. Tools	243
11.13.3.8. Artefacts with sickle gloss	244
11.13.3.9. Summary	244
11.14. Vedrovice, positions “Za dvorem“ and “Široká u lesa“ (Znojmo district, south Moravia, Czech Republic)	244
11.14.1. Background information	244
11.14.2. Dating the site	245
11.14.3. Chipped stone industry	247
11.14.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	247
11.14.3.2. Chipped stone industry at the settlement of Vedrovice “Za dvorem”	247
11.14.3.2.1. Raw material	247
11.14.3.2.2. Pre-cores and cores	247
11.14.3.2.3. Flakes and waste	247
11.14.3.2.4. Blades and blade fragments	248
11.14.3.2.5. Tools	249
11.14.3.2.6. Artefacts with sickle gloss	249
11.14.3.2.7. Summary	250
11.14.3.3. Chipped stone industry at the settlement of Vedrovice “Široká u lesa”	250
11.14.3.3.1. Raw material	250
11.14.3.3.2. Pre-cores and cores	250
11.14.3.3.3. Flakes and waste	251
11.14.3.3.4. Blades and blade fragments	251
11.14.3.3.5. Raw material transport	253
11.14.3.3.6. Tools	254
11.14.3.3.7. Artefacts with sickle gloss	254
10.14.3.3.8. Summary	254
11.14.3.4. Chipped stone industry at the cemetery of Vedrovice “Široká u lesa”	254
11.14.3.4.1. Raw material	256
11.14.3.4.2. Pebbles, pre-cores and cores	257
11.14.3.4.3. Flakes and waste	257
11.14.3.4.4. Blades and blade fragments	257
11.14.3.4.5. Tools	257
11.14.3.4.6. Artefacts with sickle gloss	258

11.14.3.4.7. Trapezes and trapezoidal shapes in graves and their function	258
11.14.3.4.7.1. Function of transverse arrowheads	260
11.14.3.4.8. Closing reflections and summary	260
11.14.3.4.9. Attempt at a chronological classification of the Vedrovice cemetery based on the analysis of the chipped stone industry and a comparison with other sites	262
11.15. Žopy, position “Cihelna“ (Kroměříž district, south Moravia, Czech Republic)	263
11.15.1. Background information	263
11.15.2. Dating the site	263
11.15.3. Chipped stone industry	264
11.15.3.1. Nearest outcrops of appropriate raw materials	264
11.15.3.2. Chipped stone industry at the settlement of Žopy I	264
11.15.3.2.1. Raw material	264
11.15.3.2.2. Pre-cores and cores	265
11.15.3.2.3. Flakes and waste	265
11.15.3.2.4. Blades and blade fragments	266
11.15.3.2.5. Raw material transport	266
11.15.3.2.6. Tools	267
11.15.3.2.7. Artefacts with sickle gloss	267
11.15.3.2.8. Summary	267
11.15.3.3. Chipped stone industry at the settlement of Žopy II	268
11.15.3.3.1. Raw material	268
11.15.3.3.2. Pre-cores and cores	268
11.15.3.3.3. Flakes and waste	268
11.15.3.3.4. Blades and blade fragments	268
11.15.3.3.5. Raw material transport	268
11.15.3.3.6. Tools	268
11.15.3.3.7. Artefacts with sickle gloss	269
11.15.3.3.8. Summary	269

Supplement

List of figures 1–44	273
List of tables 1–324	277
Table 323. List of sites shown on maps 5–9 and 14–67	285
Table 324. List of sites shown on maps 10–13	293
List of graphs 1–28	294
List of maps 1–67 (for maps 1–13 see text, 4–67 see DVD)	295
Figures 9–44	297
Abbreviations	333
Bibliography	334
Index	351

DVD

Table 323
Table 324
List of maps 1–67
Maps 4–67