

Kaczyńska, Elwira

**A newly identified Cretan gloss (βάπιον)**

*Graeco-Latina Brunensis*. 2014, vol. 19, iss. 1, pp. [61]-69

ISSN 1803-7402 (print); ISSN 2336-4424 (online)

Stable URL (handle): <https://hdl.handle.net/11222.digilib/130049>

Access Date: 16. 02. 2024

Version: 20220831

**Terms of use:** Digital Library of the Faculty of Arts, Masaryk University provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use, unless otherwise specified.

ELWIRA KACZYŃSKA  
(UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ)

## A NEWLY IDENTIFIED CRETAN GLOSS (βάριον)

*The author explains the Hesychian gloss βάριον πρόβατον. καὶ τὸ βαρύ. καὶ τὸ βράδιον from the philological and etymological point of view. The final part of the gloss (or possibly even the whole gloss) seems to represent a Cretan component. The development of the consonantal cluster -ρδ- to -ρ-, documented by the equivalence βάριον (orig. \*βάρδιον) = βράδιον, is attested only in the Central area of Crete.*

**Keywords:** Ancient Greek Dialectology, Cretan Phonology, Hesychius of Alexandria

The well-known ancient lexicon compiled by Hesychius of Alexandria (fifth or sixth century AD) contains numerous Cretan glosses, discussed and reviewed by modern scholars e.g. KLEEMANN (1872: 20–44); MARZULLO (1968: 126–129 = 2000: 517–520); BROWN (1985: 21–90); WITCZAK (1998: 17–20). There are many glosses in the Hesychian lexicon which were introduced with no ethnic (or regional) designation. Some of these seem to represent the Cretan element of the Ancient Greek vocabulary.

In my paper I would like to discuss only one gloss, which is attested in the following shape:

βάριον· πρόβατον. καὶ τὸ βαρύ. καὶ τὸ βράδιον cf. SCHMIDT (1858: 359, s.v. β-236); LATTE (1953: 313, s.v. β-231).

In fact, the Hesychian gloss in question represents three different and etymologically unrelated appellatives. Let us discuss all the homonymic forms.

### Ad 1. βάριον· πρόβατον [...].

The first meaning of the term βάριον given in the gloss is ‘sheep’ (Gk. πρόβατον). It contains an initial digamma \*F- (rendered by means of the

letter β-) and derives from the Doric appellative *φαρήν* (Gortyn, 6th c. BC), cf. also Attic-Ionic ἄρην m. f. ‘lamb; young sheep’, Myc. *we-re-ne-ja* ‘vom Schaf’ (BARTONĚK 2003: 218, 615), Aeolic (Lesbian) ὕρεστι dat. pl. ‘to sheep’ (DANKA – WITCZAK 2009: 11–17). The original digamma appears as beta in other Hesychian glosses too: βάννεια· τὰ ἄρνεια. καὶ βάννιμα τὸ αὐτό cf. LATTE (1953: 312, s.v. β-234), βάριχοι· ἄρνες cf. LATTE (1953: 313, s.v. β-195)<sup>1</sup>, †βρίννια· τὰ ἄρνεια κρέα, LATTE (1953: 313, s.v. β-1168).

The attested form βάριον (*recte: φάριον*) n. ‘sheep’ should be treated as a Doric<sup>2</sup> derivative of *φαρήν* containing the diminutive suffix *-ιον*. Such a derivation, ignoring the original *n*-stem, seems somewhat irregular from the point of view of Ancient Greek word-formation, CHANTRINE (1933: 403 and 1968: 108); BEEKES (2010: 129)<sup>3</sup>. The most widespread and fully regular form is \**φαρνίον*, attested in Attic-Ionic ἄρνιον n. ‘little lamb’ (cf. also the Hesychian gloss ἄρνιον· πρόβατον, ἀμνός), Mod. Gk. *ἀρνί*, Tsakonian *βαννέ* [vanné] n. ‘lamb’ (< Late Laconian \**φαννίον* < Doric \**φαρνίον*). It was regularly created on the basis of the *n*-stem (the nominal stem \**φαρν-* occurs in the oblique cases, cf. Attic, Ionic ἄρην, gen. sg. ἄρνος m. f. ‘lamb; young sheep’).

## Ad 2. βάριον· [...] καὶ τὸ βαρύ. [...].

In the second case, βάριον appears to correspond to the neuter form of the adjective βαρύς ‘heavy in weight; violent; weighty, impressive; severe, stern (of persons); heavy-armed (of soldiers); strong, deep, bass (of sound); strong, offensive (of smell)’ (LIDDELL, SCOTT 1996: 308) < PIE. \**gʷʰrh₂us* ‘heavy’, cf. OInd. *gurūḥ* adj. ‘heavy’, Lat. *gravis* adj. ‘heavy; serious, weighty, ponderous, burdensome’, POKORNY (1959: 476); CHANTRINE (1968: 165–166); DE VAAN (2008: 272); BEEKES (2010: 202–203). The observed relation between βάριον and βαρύ appears to be analogous to the well known relation between another pair of adjectives: γλύκιος ‘sug-

<sup>1</sup> This dialectal appellative is confirmed by the personal name *Fáriχος*, attested in Tarentum (*IG* 14.668.1.17), CHANTRINE (1968: 107–108); ADRADOS (1991: 683).

<sup>2</sup> ADRADOS (1991: 683) suggests a Laconian origin (with no supporting argumentation).

<sup>3</sup> The derivative, ‘extracting’ or ignoring the original *n*-stem, was secondarily created on the basis of nominative sg. *φαρήν* (< PIE. \**wṛh₂-en* ‘lamb’), cf. Arm. *garin* ‘lamb’, OInd. *úran-* m. ‘lamb’ (nom. sg. *úrā*), NPers. *barra* ‘lamb’, MAYRHOFER (1992: 225–226); ADAMS (2006: 140); BEEKES (2010: 129). Such a type of derivation is independently attested in Ancient Greek (especially Doric) dialects, cf. the Hesychian gloss βάριχοι· ἄρνες (‘lambs’), as well as the personal name *Fáriχος*.

ary, sickly' (Arist. EE 1238a.28) and γλυκύς 'sweet, pleasant, delightful', CHANTRAYNE (1968: 228). It is worth emphasizing that adjectives ending in *-ιος* (as opposed to *u*-stem adjectives) are not productive in the literary Greek language. This is why the form βάριος (neuter βάριον) must represent a secondary creation in an unknown dialect of Ancient Greek. It should be noted that the personal name Βάριος is attested in Crete (FRAZER, MATTHEWS 1987: 99).

In the Cretan dialect of Modern Greek, there are certain adjectives ending in *-ιός* (with oxytone stress) next to *-ύς*, e.g. Mod. Gk. Cret. γλυκιός 'sweet' (< Anc. Gk. γλυκύς adj. 'id.'), παχιός 'thick, fat' (< Anc. Gk. παχύς adj. 'id.'), ΚΑΥΚΑΛΑΣ (1992: 34); ΙΔΟΜΕΝΕΩΣ (2006: 118, 411). Dialectal sources also attest the Cretan adjective βαριγιός, βαριγιά, βαριγιό 'heavy' (< Anc. Gk. βαρύς, βαρεῖα, βαρύ 'id.'), e.g. είναι βαριγιός ο πόνος μου 'my pain is heavy/severe', ΙΔΟΜΕΝΕΩΣ (2006: 85). It cannot be excluded that the Hesychian gloss in question documents a dialectal (perhaps Cretan) transformation of *u*-stem adjectives into *yo*-stem ones, similar to the development attested in the Modern Greek dialect of Crete. However, the accentual difference (barytone in the Hesychian glossary vs. oxytone in Modern Cretan) must also be emphasized.

### Ad 3. βάριον [...] καὶ τὸ βράδιον.

In the third case, βάριον corresponds to the neuter form of the comparative (*τὸ βράδιον*) of the adjective βαρδύς or βραδύς 'slow; tardy, late (of time)', see LIDDELL – SCOTT (1996: 306); MONTANARI (2003: 394, 420) < PIE. \**gʷʰṛdūs* adj. 'slow', CHANTRAYNE (1968: 192); BEEKES (2010: 234); SMOCZYŃSKI (2007: 212); DE VAAN (2008: 275), cf. Lith. *gurdús* adj. 'slow', Latv. *gūrds* adj. 'tired', Lat. *gurdus* 'blockhead, dolt, heavy'. The dialectal form βάριον seems to derive from \*βάρδιον (adj. n. comp.) 'slower; tardier, later', as stressed by SCHMIDT (1858: 359): „Denique βράδιον refer ad βάρδιον”. In fact, the forms beginning with βαρδ- are well attested in Ancient Greek literary texts, cf. Doric Gk. βάρδιστος (Theoc. 15.104). Cf. also in the epic language: superlat. βάρδιστος (Il. 23, 310), comp. βαρδύτερος (Theoc. 29.30<sup>4</sup>).

At first glance, the form βάριον (instead of βάρδιον) appears to display a loss of the voiced dental stop δ [d] after the liquid ρ [r]. It is necessary to ask

<sup>4</sup> Theocritus' 29<sup>th</sup> idyll is a love poem written in quasi-Aeolic. However, it contains a number of epic elements, as well as Dorisms. The adjective βαρδύτερος (showing -αρ- as the reflex of PIE. \**ṛ*) cannot be treated as an inherited Aeolic item.

which ancient dialect of Greek demonstrates such a phonetic phenomenon. Where did such an unusual change take place?

To the best of my knowledge, the above-mentioned change of Anc. Gk. \*-ρδ- to -ρ- is attested exclusively in the Doric dialect of Crete. It is accompanied by the compensatory lengthening of the preceding vowel (i.e. the short vowel ε [ě] becomes the long η [ē], ο [ɔ] yields ου [ō] etc.). What is more, the process in question occurred only in a certain part of the island, BRAUSE (1909: 36); BUCK (1955: 74); BECHTEL (1963: 671); BILE (1988: 136–138); WITCZAK (2005: 326). It is attested in some inscriptions from Gortyn and Axos as early as in the fifth century BC, e.g.

[1] FEPONTI (= φέροντι or φέρωντι) 3<sup>rd</sup> pl. ind. or conj. praes. act. (Gortyn, 5<sup>th</sup> c. BC), FEPEN (= φέρην) inf. praes. act. (Gortyn, 4<sup>th</sup> c. BC)<sup>5</sup>, φέροντι (Axos, 4<sup>th</sup>–3<sup>rd</sup> c. BC), φέροι 3<sup>rd</sup> sg. opt. praes. act. (Axos, Gortyn, 2<sup>nd</sup>–1<sup>st</sup> c. BC), [F]ηρόντων 3<sup>rd</sup> pl. imp. praes. act. (Gortyn, 4<sup>th</sup>–3<sup>rd</sup> c. BC) < Central Cretan φέρω ‘to make, to do’, ARENA (1971: 74); BILE (1988: 137); MORANI (1999: 82) < Cretan Greek \*φέρδω ‘id.’ < Anc. Gk. \*φέρζω < Proto-Greek \*φέργγω ‘to make, to do’<sup>6</sup>. Cf. also Cretan βέρδῃ 3<sup>rd</sup> sg. conj. praes. act. (*Inscr.Cret.* 1.16.1.32 Lato = GUARDUCCI (1935: 110–111)). It is obvious that the initial grapheme β- in the inscription from Lato must represent the Greek digamma.

The same process is confirmed by a number of glosses in the Hesychian lexicon, e.g.

[2] ἄχηρον· ἀχράδα. Κρῆτες, LATTE (1953: 299, α-8858); VASILAKIS (1998: 48). Cretan Gk. ἄχηρον (acc. sg. f.) ‘wild pear, *Pyrus amygdaliformis* Vill.’ goes back to the Ancient Greek form ἄχερδον (acc. sg. f.) ‘id.’ See BECHTEL (1963: II 671, 688); BROWN (1985: 36–37). It should be added that the Old Cretan appellative ἄχερδος (f.) ‘wild pear’ (showing the consonantal cluster -ρδ- in the original form) occurs in epigraphic texts from central Crete, e.g. [ά]χερδον acc. sg., *Inscr.Cret.* 1.5.19.B18 Arcades = GUARDUCCI (1935: 14–15) and [΄Α]χερδόεντα acc. sg. *Inscr.Cret.* 1.16.5.61–62 Lato = GUARDUCCI (1935: 119, 123); CHANIOTIS (1996: 340); see also BROWN (1985: 177); FAURE (1989: 149).

<sup>5</sup> In early inscriptions from Gortyn (5<sup>th</sup>–4<sup>th</sup> c. BC) the grapheme E represents both the short ε [ě] and the long η [ē]. In other words, the epigraphical notations FEPONTI and FEPEN represent the verbal forms φέροντι (or perhaps the subjunctive φέρωντι) and φέρην (inf. praes. act.), respectively.

<sup>6</sup> The full-grade root \*werǵyō, attested in Ancient Greek, must be an innovation. Mycenaean Greek verbal forms (e.g. wo-ze [worzei]) show the zero-grade of the root, corresponding to PIE. \*wrǵ-ye/o-; cf. Gothic *waurkjan*, Avestan *vərəziieti*, RIX (2001: 686–687); see also POKORNY (1959: 1168–1169).

[3] κάρα· αἵξ ἥμερος Πολυρόήνιοι. ὑπὸ Γορτυνίων ... ἄλλοι δὲ ἡ συκῆ· Ἰωνες τὰ πρόβατα. καὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν, LATTE (1966: 411, κ-755); VASILAKIS (1998: 95); κάραι· συκαῖ, LATTE (1966: 412, κ-762); VASILAKIS (1998: 95). It is clear that the Central Cretan (Gortynian?) term κάρα [probably pronounced *kārā*<sup>7</sup>] (f.) ‘a kind of fig-tree’ continues \*κάρδα (f.) ‘id.’, cf. Attic Gk. κράδη (f.) ‘fig-tree’, BROWN (1985: 67–68). Cf. also the Hesychian gloss: κράδη· συκῆ. κλάδος. καὶ ἀγκυρίς, ἐξ ἣς ἀνήπτοντο οἱ ἐν ταῖς τραγικαῖς μηχαναῖς ἐπιφανόμενοι, LATTE (1966: 523, κ-3913).

[4] κήρεα· τὰ κέρδη, LATTE (1966: 473, κ-2559) < nom. pl. neut. κέρδεα ‘benefits; good advice’, BECHTEL (1963: 671); BROWN (1985: 70, 307). The Hesychian gloss is explained as a Central Cretan plural form derived from Anc. Gk. κέρδος (n., *es*-stem) ‘gain, profit, advantage’, FRISK (1960: 829); BEEKES (2010: 678).

[5] μαρίν· τὴν σῦν. Κρῆτες, LATTE (1966: 629, μ-286); VASILAKIS (1998: 114). According to WITCZAK (2005: 326), the Cretan term for ‘pig’, μᾶρις (nom. sg.), should be derived from Anc. Gk. \*μαρδίς (f.) ‘pig’ (cf. Myc. Gk. *ma-di-je* [*mardije*] dat. sg. ‘for the swine’) < \*smṛdis ‘stinking animal’ < PIE. \*smerd- ‘to stink’, POKORNY (1959: 970); RIX (2001: 570), cf. Aragonian *mardanco* ‘ram’ next to Gallego *marrano* ‘pig’, Port. (dial.) *marrancho* ‘hog, boar’, Sp. *marrano* (m.) ‘hog’, in colloquial use ‘dirty or unprincipled person’, *marrana* (f.) ‘sow, female pig; stinking or dirty woman’, dial. *marrancho* ‘pig, hog, dirty person’ (originally Lusitanian \**mardānos* < PIE. \*smṛd-ōnos ‘stinking animal’).

[6] πήραξον· ἀφόδευσον, SCHMIDT (1861: 332, π-2220); VASILAKIS (1998: 131); CHANTRAINE (1974: 885). The form πήραξον (imp. aor. act.) ‘defecate!’ represents a Cretan development from Doric Gk. \*πέρδαξον (< PIE. \*perd- ‘to fart, break wind’; POKORNY (1959: 819); RIX (2001: 473–474).

[7] πῆριξ· πέρδιξ. Κρῆτες, SCHMIDT (1861: 332, π-2224). The Cretan form πῆριξ f. ‘partridge’ represents the most evident example of the change in question, see BECHTEL (1963: 671); PISANI (1973: 111); CHANTRAINE (1974: 885); BROWN (1985: 82); VASILAKIS (1998: 132); MORANI (1999: 82); BEEKES (2010: 1175); KACZYŃSKA (2013: 39); and also THOMPSON (1936: 249); ARNOTT (2007: 261).

In her monograph *Le dialecte crétois ancien* Monique Bile (1988) discusses the Cretan treatment of the cluster -ρδ-. She correctly stresses that

<sup>7</sup> The long and stressed vowel [ā] is the obvious and only possible reconstruction, as the simplification of the cluster \*ρδ, observed in the dialect of Central Crete, causes the compensatory lengthening of the preceding short vowel.

this phenomenon was restricted to central Crete<sup>8</sup> and was completely absent from other ancient Greek dialects. Unfortunately, she was unable to indicate that the Cretan development of the consonantal cluster -ρδ- to -:ρ- is also attested in Ancient Greek literature. In fact, the eighth lexical item demonstrating the central Cretan development of cluster -ρδ- to -:ρ- is registered by Athenaeus of Naucratis (Ath. VII 74), who refers to the *Halieutikón* by Numenius Heracleotes.

[8] Gk. dial. κουρύλος (m.) ‘water-newt, triton, *Triton palustris* L.’ (the form given by Numen., according to Ath. VII 74) < Anc. Gk. κορδύλος (m.) ‘water-newt’. According to BEEKES (2010: 751), the Greek word in question was borrowed from a Pre-Greek substrate. My opinion is completely different: it represents a Greek element of Indo-European origin and derives securely from PIE. \**kordulós*, KACZYŃSKA (2004: 145; 2013: 39–40); WITCZAK (2013: 413), cf. Alb. *hardhēl* (f.) ‘lizard’ (< PIE. \**skordulā*), Gk. dial. σκορδύλη : ζῶόν τι τῶν τελματιών, ἐμφερὲς καλαβώτῃ, SCHMIDT (1862: 49, σ-1108) ‘an animal of stagnant waters, similar to the spotted lizard’ (< PIE. \**skordulā*)<sup>9</sup>. The suggested development of κορδύλος (Central dial. κουρύλος) from PIE. \**kordulós* may be easily explained from the point of view of Greek accentology by Wheeler’s law, COLLINGE (1985: 221–223). In my opinion, the lexeme κουρύλος, used by Numenius Heracleotes in his *Halieutikón* and identified by Athenaeus with Common Greek κορδύλος, can be ascribed to the Doric dialect of central Crete because of two distinct phonetic changes, namely the loss of the voiced dental consonant after a liquid (ρδ > ρ) and the compensatory lengthening of the preceding vowel, KACZYŃSKA (2004: 145–146; 2013: 40–41).

The Hesychian gloss under scrutiny provides the ninth example of the Central Cretan change of \*-ρδ- to -ρ-; namely, Common Cretan \*βάρδιον yields Central Cretan βάριον [pronounced: bárion] adj. n. comp. ‘slower; tardier, later’. It should be noted that the Cretan dialect “has a uniform-

<sup>8</sup> As BILE (1988: 137) indicates, the consonantal cluster -ρδ- is well preserved in epigraphical texts from Arcades (e.g. [ū]χερδον *Inscr.Cret.* 1.5.19.B18), Dreros (e.g. Αφορδίταν, *Inscr.Cret.* 1.9.1.27, 3<sup>rd</sup>–2<sup>nd</sup> c. BC), Lato (e.g. [A]χερδόντα *Inscr.Cret.* 1.16.5.61–62, βέρδητι *Inscr.Cret.* 1.16.1.32) and Rhizenia (e.g. Δερδωπίδα *Inscr.Cret.* 1.28.17). It is generally claimed that the sound change in question was a fricativization or another kind of lenition of [d], SCHWYZER (1939: 286); BILE (1988: 137).

<sup>9</sup> It cannot be excluded that the Greek term in question is somehow related to the Indic terms for different spotted animals, especially leopards or tigers, e.g. OInd. śārdūlāh (m.) ‘tiger, lion, panther, leopard; a fabulous animal; a kind of bird’, MONIER-WILLIAMS (1999: 1067), Pali *saddūla*- m. ‘leopard, tiger’, Prakrit *saddūla*- m. ‘id.’, Old Awadhi *sādūra* m. ‘lion’, Hindi *sādūr* n. ‘tiger, lion’, Singhalese *sadulā, sādulā, sedela, sedola* ‘tiger’ TURNER (1966: 719). The long vowel ā in Old Indic requires an explanation (perhaps a vrddhi formation?). Also, the long ū seems unclear.

ly” as a straightforward reflex of the vocalic sonant *\*ṛ*, BUCK (1955: 45); cf. BILE (1988: 123–125), e.g. Cret. δαρκμά, δαρκνά f. ‘a drachm’ (= Att. δραχμή), Cret. κάρτος n. ‘strength, might, power, rule’ (= Att. κράτος), Cret. καρτερός adj. ‘strong, stout, mighty’ (= Att. κρατερός), Cret. σταρτός m. ‘a division of a people’ (= Att. στρατός m. ‘army, band, body of people’, Aeolic στρότος m. ‘id.’). The same reflex *arp* (< *\*ṛ*) is also attested in Cretan anthroponymy, e.g. the Cretan personal names Ισοκάρτης, Θαρσύμαχος, Σωκάρτης, FRASER – MATTHEWS (1987: 192, 210, 418) are related to the well-known Attic names Ἰσοκράτης, Θρασύμαχος and Σωκράτης. Cf. also the Central Cretan (probably Gortynian) term κάρῆ (f.) ‘a kind of fig-tree’ (listed above), which reflects Cretan *\*κάρδā* (= Att. κράδη f. ‘fig-tree’).

### Conclusions.

In my paper I reviewed afresh one Hesychian gloss. It is certain that the final (third) part of the gloss should be explained as purely Cretan. What is more, it cannot be excluded that also the first part of the gloss, containing the Doric digamma in the initial position, as well as the second one, may represent Cretan elements.

Finally, we should ask why the ethnic designation <Κρῆτες> did not appear in the end of the gloss under discussion: βάριον· πρόβατον. καὶ τὸ βαρύ. καὶ τὸ βράδιον. Two possible answers may be given. Firstly, the author of the Hesychian lexicon may have ignored the correct origin of the gloss (or its last part). Secondly, the dialectal sources of the triple gloss may have been disparate and the compiler chose to avoid adding an ethnical specification to the lemma<sup>10</sup>.

### Bibliography:

- ADRADOS, FRANCISCO R. [ED.]. 1991. *Diccionario griego-español*. Vol. III. Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas.
- ARENA, RENATO. 1971. *Note linguistiche a proposito delle tavole di Eraclea*. Roma: Edizioni dell’Ateneo.
- ARNOTT, GEOFFREY, W. 2007. *Birds in the Ancient World from A to Z*. London–New York: Routledge.
- BARTONĚK, ANTONÍN. 2003. *Handbuch des mykenischen Griechisch*. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag C. Winter.

<sup>10</sup> In this place I would like to thank two anonymous reviewers for many useful and valuable suggestions.

- BECHTEL, FRIEDRICH. 1963. *Die griechischen Dialekte*. B. II. 2. Auflage. Berlin: Weidmann.
- BEEKES, ROBERT. 2010. *Etymological Dictionary of Greek*. Vol. I-II. Leiden–Boston: Brill.
- BILE, MONIQUE. 1988. *Le dialecte crétois ancien*. Paris: Librairie Orientaliste Paul Geuthner.
- BRAUSE, JOHANNES. 1909. *Lautlehre der kretischen Dialekte*. Halle a. S.: Max Niemeyer.
- BROWN, RAYMOND A. 1985. *Evidence for Pre-Greek Speech on Crete from Greek Alphabetic Sources*. Amsterdam: Adolf M. Hakkert.
- BUCK, CARL, DARLING. 1955. *The Greek Dialects. Grammar. Selected Inscriptions, Glossary*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- CHANIOTIS, ANGELOS. 1996. *Die Verträge zwischen kretischen Poleis in der hellenistischen Zeit*. Stuttgart: Franz Steiner Verlag.
- CHANTRAINE, PIERRE. 1933. *La formation des noms en grec ancien*. Paris: Klincksieck.
- CHANTRAINE, PIERRE. 1968. *Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque. Histoire des mots*. Vol. I. Paris: Éditions Klincksieck.
- CHANTRAINE, PIERRE. 1974. *Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque. Histoire des mots*. Vol. III. Paris: Éditions Klincksieck.
- COLLINGE, NEVILLE, EDGAR. 1985. *The Laws of Indo-European*. Amsterdam – Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- DANKA, IGNACY, RYSZARD – WITCZAK, KRZYSZTOF, TOMASZ. 2009. “The Hesychian gloss ὄρεσι· προβάτοις and the Mycenaean evidence.” *Do-so-mo*, 8, 11–17.
- FAURE, PAUL. 1989. *Recherches de toponymie crétoise. Opera selecta*. Amsterdam: Adolf M. Hakkert.
- FRASER, PETER, MARSHALL – MATTHEWS, ELAINE. 1987. *A Lexicon of Greek Personal Names*. Vol. I: *The Aegean Islands, Cyprus, Cyrenaica*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- FRISK, HJALMAR. 1960. *Griechisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. B. I. Heidelberg: Carl Winter Universitätsverlag.
- GUARDUCCI, MARGARITA [ED.]. 1935. *Inscriptiones Creticae*, opera et consilio Friderici Halbherr collectae. Vol. I. Romae: La Libreria dello Stato.
- ΙΔΟΜΕΝΕΩΣ, ΜΑΡΙΝΟΣ Ι. 2006. *Κρητικό γλωσσάριο*. Ηράκλειο: Βικελαία Δημοτική Βιβλιοθήκη.
- KACZYŃSKA, ELWIRA. 2004. “Skąd pochodził Numenios Herakleota?” In MIKOŁAJCZYK, IRENEUSZ [ED.]. *Sapere aude. Księga pamiątkowa ofiarowana Profesorowi dr. hab. Marianowi Szarmachowi z okazji 65 rocznicy urodzin* [Sapere aude. Dedicatory Volume devoted to Prof. Dr. Marian Szarmach on the Occasion of his 65 Birthday]. Toruń: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Mikołaja Kopernika, 140–146.
- KACZYŃSKA, ELWIRA. 2013. “The Cretan Origin of Numenius Heracleotes in the Light of Ancient Greek Dialectology.” *Kretika Chronika*, 33, 35–43.
- ΚΑΥΚΑΛΑΣ, ΜΙΧΑΛΗΣ Ι. 1992. *Μνημόνιο κρητικής διαλέκτου*. Αθήνα: Έκδοση της εφημερίδας Κρητικά Επίκαιρα.
- KLEEMANN, GUSTAVUS, MAXIMILIANUS. 1872. *De universa Creticae dialecti indole adiecta glossarum creticarum collection*. Halis Saxonum: Formis Hendeliis.
- LATTE, KURT [ED.]. 1953–1966. *Hesychii Alexandrini Lexicon*. Vol. I–II. Hauniae: Ejnar Munksgaard.
- LIDDELL, HENRY, GEORG – SCOTT, ROBERT. 1996. *A Greek-English Lexicon*. With a revised supplement. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- MARZULLO, BENEDETTO. 1968. “Hesychii glossae Cretensibus tribuendae vel emendandae.” In: Πεπραγμένα τοῦ Β' Διεθνοῦς Κρητολογικοῦ Συνεδρίου. Vol. II. Athens, 126–129 (reprinted in MARZULLO 2000: 517–521).

- MARZULLO, BENEDETTO. 2000. *Scripta minora*. (Spudasmata B. 77.) Hildesheim – Zurich – New York: Georg Olms Verlag.
- MAYRHOFER, MANFRED. 1992. *Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen*. B. III. Heidelberg: Carl Winter Universitätsverlag.
- MONIER-WILLIAMS, MONIER. 1999. *A Sanskrit-English Dictionary*. Delhi: Motilal Banarsiadas Publishers.
- MONTANARI, FRANCO. 2003. *Vocabulario della lingua greca*. Milano: Loescher Editore.
- MORANI, MORENO. 1999. *Introduzione alla linguistica greca. Il greco tra le lingue indeuropee*. Alessandria: Edizioni dell’Orso.
- PISANI, VITTORE. 1973. *Manuale storica della lingua greca*. 2. edizione. Brescia: Paideia Editrice.
- POKORNÝ, JULIUS. 1959. *Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. Bern – München: Francke Verlag.
- RIX, HELMUT [HRSG.]. 2001. *Lexikon der indogermanischen Verben. Die Wurzeln und ihre Primärstammbildungen*. Wiesbaden: Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag.
- SMOCZYŃSKI, WOJCIECH. 2007. *Słownik etymologiczny języka litewskiego*. Wilno: Uniwersytet Wileński.
- SCHMIDT, MAURICIUS [ED.]. 1858. *Hesychii Alexandrini Lexicon*. Vol. I (Α–Δ). Ienae: sumptibus Frederici Maukii.
- SCHMIDT, MAURICIUS [ED.]. 1861. *Hesychii Alexandrini Lexicon*. Vol. III (Λ–Π). Ienae: sumptibus Frederici Maukii.
- SCHMIDT, MAURICIUS [ED.]. 1862. *Hesychii Alexandrini Lexicon*. Vol. IV. Pars I. Ienae: sumptibus Frederici Maukii.
- SCHWYZER, EDUARD. 1939. *Griechische Grammatik*. B. I. München: C. H. Beck’sche Verlagsbuchhandlung.
- THOMPSON, D’ARCY, WENTWORTH. 1936. *A Glossary of Greek Birds*. 2. Edition. Oxford: The Clarendon Press.
- TURNER, RALPH, LILLEY. 1966. *A Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages*. London – New York – Toronto: Oxford University Press.
- DE VAAN, MICHAEL. 2008. *Etymological Dictionary of Latin and the Other Italic Languages*. Leiden – Boston: Brill.
- VASILAKIS, ANTONIS, THOMAS. 1998. *To Κρητικό λεξιλόγιο*. Ηράκλειο Κρήτης: the author.
- WITCZAK, KRZYSZTOF, TOMASZ. 1998. “Three Cretan Glosses of Pre-Greek Origin.” *Živá Antika*, 48, 17–20.
- WITCZAK, KRZYSZTOF, TOMASZ. 2005. *Język i religia Luzytanów. Studium historyczno-porównawcze* [The Language and Religion of the Ancient Lusitanians. A Historical-Comparative Study]. Łódź: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego.
- WITCZAK, KRZYSZTOF, TOMASZ. 2013. “Two Tocharian Borrowings of Oriental Origin.” *Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 66 (4), 411–416.

